# General lemmas for stability analysis of linear continuous-time systems with slowly time-varying parameters

## JI-FENG ZHANG†

This note investigates stability conditions for some dynamic systems with slowly time-varying parameters or with unknown internal or external disturbances. The models and conditions are motivated by adaptive control problems. The results presented here provide some sufficient conditions for the exponential convergence of the state sequence of systems without system disturbance, and for the uniform boundedness of the state sequence of such systems when they are subject to uniformly bounded system disturbances.

#### 1. Introduction

Over the last two decades, adaptive control problems have been the subject of intensive study in systems and control theory (see for example, the texts by Åström and Wittenmark 1989, Caines 1988, Chen and Guo 1991, and the papers by Goodwin *et al.* 1991, Ortega and Tang 1989). The strategies to construct adaptive control laws are often quite different, but they usually have one common aspect; that is, they combine some estimation procedure with the 'certainty equivalence principle'. This often leads to a closed-loop system which has time-varying parameters. Therefore, an analysis of the stability of the corresponding closed-loop system is equivalent to an analysis of the stability of a corresponding time-varying system.

When the system parameter varies arbitrarily, it is hard to find a general method to tell us whether or not the system is stable. For the case where the time-varying parameters are differentiable and the derivatives of the parameters are sufficiently small, an early work of Desoer (1969) showed that the systems in question are exponentially stable. For the case where the derivatives of the parameters are not sufficiently small, a counter-example shows that the system may be unstable (see for example, Rosenbrock 1963). Moreover, in many situations of interest, the time-variation of the parameters is not differentiable (see for example, Ezzine and Haddad 1989, Feng et al. 1992, Sworder and Chou 1985).

**Example 1:** Suppose the time-varying system is described by  $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$ . Then if

$$A(t) = \begin{cases} A_1, & t \in [2i, 2i+1), & i = 0, 1, \dots \\ A_2, & t \in [2i+1, 2i+2), & i = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Received 26 March 1992. Revised 10 September 1992.

<sup>†</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, McGill University, 3480 University Street, Montreal, Canada, H3A 2A7. On leave from Institute of Systems Science, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China.

where

$$A_1 = A_2^{\tau} = \begin{bmatrix} -\log 2 & 2\\ 0 & -\log 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

then all the eigenvalues of A(t) are  $-\log 2$  and  $-\log 2$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . But, for the initial condition

$$x(0) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} [\sqrt{2} - 1, 1]^{\tau}$$

the solution is

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2 - \sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{2}(t - 2k)}{2^{t - 2k + 2}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2^{t - 2k + 2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}^{k}, & \forall t \in [2k, 2k + 1) \\ \frac{\frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{2^{t - 2k + 2}}}{2^{t - 2k + 2}} \\ \frac{2(2 + \sqrt{2})(2 - 2k - 1) + \sqrt{2}}{2^{t - 2k + 2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}^{k}, & \forall t \in [2k + 1, 2k + 2) \end{cases}$$

From this we get

$$||x(t)||^2 \ge \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^{t-2}, \quad \forall t \ge 2$$

which means that ||x(t)|| exponentially goes to infinity.

However, if

$$A(t) = \begin{cases} A_1, & t \in [6i, 6i+3), & i = 0, 1, \dots \\ A_2, & t \in [6i+3, 6i+6), & i = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$$
 (2)

then we can show that ||x(t)|| exponentially converges to zero for any initial value x(0).

It is easy to see that A(t), given by (1) or (2), is piecewise constant. The only difference is that A(t) in (1) jumps faster than A(t) in (2). From this, and the analysis mentioned above, we observe that if the parameters jump 'too' fast, then the system may be unstable even if all the eigenvalues of  $A(t) \le -\varepsilon < 0$ . However, if the parameters jump rarely enough in some sense, then  $A(t) \le -\varepsilon < 0$  can ensure the stability of the system.

This note is a continuous-time version of the previous work of Giri et al. (1990), which presents a general lemma for investigating the uniform boundedness of the solutions of a class of discrete-time systems. The purpose of this note is to provide some sufficient conditions on the time-varying parameters, which may be continuous or discontinuous functions of time t, in order to guarantee the exponential convergence of the state sequence of the corresponding autonomous systems (i.e. without disturbance), and to guarantee the uniform boundedness of the state sequence of the involved systems with uniformly bounded disturbances.

## 2. Main results

Similar to Giri *et al.* (1990), we introduce the following definitions, which are suitable to measure the degree of variation of parameters which are continuously or discontinuously varying in time.

**Definition 1:** Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . A real process  $\{s(t)\}$  is said to be  $\mu$ -asymptotically small in the mean if

$$\limsup_{l \to \infty} \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{l} \int_{k}^{k+l} s(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \le \mu \tag{3}$$

**Definition 2:** Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{S(t)\}$  be real matrix process. If there is a real number sequence  $\{t_k\}$  satisfying

$$t_k < t_{k+1} \underset{k \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty \text{ and } \sup_{k \ge 0} (t_{k+1} - t_k) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} T < \infty,$$

such that

$$\limsup_{l \to \infty} \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{l} \int_{t_k}^{t_k + l} ||S(t) - S(t_k)|| \, \mathrm{d}t \le v \tag{4}$$

then  $\{S(t)\}$  said to be *v-asymptotically slowly varying in the mean* (v-ASVM) with respect to  $\{t_k\}$ .

For a real number  $\mu$ , the set of all  $\mu$ -asymptotically-small-in-the-mean processes is denoted by  $S_a(\mu)$ . Similarly, for a real number  $\nu$  and a sequence  $\{t_k\}$ , the set of all the matrix processes of  $\nu$ -ASVM with respect to  $\{t_k\}$  is denoted by  $V_a(\nu, \{t_k\})$ .

**Lemma 1:** Suppose that  $\{F(t)\}\in V_a(v,\{t_k\})$  is an  $n\times n$  matrix-valued process, and satisfies

$$\sup_{k \ge 1} ||F(t_k)|| \le C < \infty \text{ and } \max \{ \Re \lambda_i(F(t_k)), \ i = 1, \ldots, n; \ k = 1, 2, \ldots \} \le -\alpha$$
(5)

where  $\{t_k\}$  is the same as in Definition 2, C and  $\alpha > 0$  are constants,  $\lambda_i(F(t_k))$  denotes the ith eigenvalue of matrix  $F(t_k)$ , and  $\Re \lambda_i(F(t_k))$  denotes the real part of  $\lambda_i(F(t_k))$ . If  $\{x(t)\}$  is generated by

$$\dot{x}(t) = F(t)x(t) \tag{6}$$

then there exists a real number  $v_0$  such that for any  $v \in [0, v_0)$ , ||x(t)|| converges to zero exponentially.

**Proof:** Define the fundamental matrix  $\Phi_{t,u}$  of F(t), i.e.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{t,0}}{\mathrm{d}t} = F(t)\Phi_{t,0}, \ \Phi_{0,0} = I, \ \Phi_{t,u} = \Phi_{t,0}\Phi_{u,0}^{-1}, \quad \forall t, u \ge 0$$
 (7)

It is easy to see that in order to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that there exists a real number  $v_0$  such that for any  $v \in [0, v_0)$ 

$$\|\Phi_{t,u}\| \le C_1 \beta^{t-u}, \quad \forall t \ge u \ge 0$$
 (8)

where  $C_1 < \infty$  and  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  are constants.

By (5) and the argument used by Desoer (1970) we may conclude that there exist constants  $M \ge 1$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\exp\left\{F(t_k)(t-s)\right\} \le Me^{-\rho(t-s)}, \quad \forall t \ge s \ge 0$$

Noticing that  $\{F(t)\}\in V_a(\nu, \{t_k\})$ , we see that there exists  $\widetilde{T} \ge 2\rho^{-1}\log M$  such that

$$\int_{t_k}^{t} ||F(s) - F(t_k)|| \, \mathrm{d}s \le v(t - t_k), \quad \forall t_k \ge \widetilde{T}, \quad \forall t - t_k \ge \widetilde{T}$$

Set

$$T_0 = \max_{i \ge 0} \{ t_i : t_i \in [t_0 + \widetilde{T}, t_0 + \widetilde{T} + T] \}$$

and

$$T_{k+1} = \max_{i \geq 0} \left\{ t_i \colon t_i \in (T_k + \widetilde{T}, T_k + \widetilde{T} + T] \right\}, \quad \forall k \geq 0$$

where T is defined in Definition 2.

Then it is clear that

$$\widetilde{T} \le T_{k+1} - T_k \le \widetilde{T} + T \tag{9}$$

$$\exp\left\{F(T_k)(t-s)\right\} \leqslant M e^{-\rho(t-s)}, \quad \forall t \geqslant s \geqslant 0 \tag{10}$$

$$\int_{T_{h}}^{T_{k+1}} ||F(s) - F(T_{k})|| \, \mathrm{d}s \le \nu(\widetilde{T} + T) \tag{11}$$

For any fixed  $T_k$ , from (7) we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{t,u}}{\mathrm{d}t} = F(T_k)\Phi_{t,u} + [F(t) - F(T_k)]\Phi_{t,u}, \quad \Phi_{u,u} = I, \quad \forall t \ge T_k \ge u \ge T_0$$
(12)

which implies that

$$\Phi_{t,u} = e^{F(T_k)(t-T_k)} \Phi_{T_k,u} + \int_{T_k}^t e^{F(T_k)(t-s)} [F(s) - F(T_k)] \Phi_{s,u} ds$$
 (13)

From this and (10) it follows that

$$\|\Phi_{t,u}\| \le M e^{-\rho(t-T_k)} \|\Phi_{T_k,u}\| + M \int_{T_k}^t e^{-\rho(t-s)} \|F(s) - F(T_k)\| \cdot \|\Phi_{s,u}\| ds$$

i.e.

$$m(t) \le Mm(T_k) + M \int_{T_k}^t ||F(s) - F(T_k)||m(s) ds$$
 (14)

where  $m(t) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} e^{\rho t} \|\Phi_{t,u}\|$ .

From the Bellman-Gronwall lemma (see for example, Desoer and Vidyasagar 1975) it follows from (14) that

$$m(t) \leq Mm(T_k) \exp\left\{M \int_{T_k}^t ||F(s) - F(T_k)|| \,\mathrm{d}s\right\}$$

i.e.

$$\|\Phi_{t,u}\| \le M \exp\left\{-\rho(t-T_k) + M \int_u^t \|F(s) - F(T_k)\| \,\mathrm{d}s\right\} \times \|\Phi_{T_k,u}\|$$
 (15)

Combining this with (11) leads to

$$\|\Phi_{T_{k+1},u}\| \le \|\Phi_{T_k,u}\| \cdot M e^{-(\rho - Mv)(\widetilde{T} + T)}$$
 (16)

for any fixed  $v \in [0, M^{-1})$ . Therefore, if we take

$$v_0 = \min\left\{\frac{\rho}{4M}, \frac{1}{M}\right\} \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{2M}\left(\rho - \frac{\log M}{\widetilde{T} + T}\right), \frac{1}{M}\right\}$$

then for any fixed  $v \in [0, v_0)$ 

$$\alpha \stackrel{\triangle}{=} M e^{-(\rho - M\nu)(\widetilde{T} + T)} < 1$$
 and  $\alpha^{(\widetilde{T} + T)^{-1}} \le e^{-\rho/4}$ 

Hence, (16) implies that

$$\|\Phi_{T_{k+1},u}\| \le \alpha \|\Phi_{T_k,u}\|$$
 (17)

For any fixed  $t \ge u \ge T_0$ , it is easy to see that there exist  $k_t$  and  $k_u$  such that  $k_t \ge k_u, \ t \in [T_{k_t}, \ T_{k_t+1}) \ \text{and} \ u \in [T_{k_u}, \ T_{k_u+1}).$ By (7) and  $\|\Phi_{u,u}\| = 1$  we get

$$\|\Phi_{t,u}\| \le 1 + \int_{u}^{t} \|F(s)\| \cdot \|\Phi_{s,u}\| \, \mathrm{d}s, \quad \forall t \ge u \ge T_0$$

This, together with the Bellman-Gronwall lemma (see, for example, Desoer and Vidyasagar 1975) yields

$$\|\Phi_{t,u}\| \le \exp\left\{\int_u^t \|F(s)\| \,\mathrm{d}s\right\}, \quad \forall t \ge u \ge T_0$$

which, combined with (11) and the condition  $\sup_{k\geq 0} ||F(t_k)|| \leq C$ , implies that

$$\|\Phi_{T_{k_{u}+1},u}\| \le \exp\left\{\int_{T_{k_{u}}}^{T_{k_{u}+1}} \|F(s)\| \,\mathrm{d}s\right\} \le \exp\left\{(v+C)(\widetilde{T}+T)\right\}$$

Therefore, from (15), (11) and (17) it follows that

$$\|\Phi_{t,u}\| \le M \exp\{(M\nu + \nu + C)(\widetilde{T} + T)\}\alpha^{k_t - k_u - 1}$$
 (18)

for any  $t \ge u \ge T_0$ .

By (9) and the definitions of  $k_t$  and  $k_u$  we see that  $t - u \le T_{k_t+1} - T_{k_u} \le$  $(k_t - k_u + 1)(\widetilde{T} + T)$ . Thus, we have

$$k_t - k_u \ge (\widetilde{T} + T)^{-1}(t - u) - 1$$

Substituting this into (18) results in

$$\|\Phi_{t,u}\| \le M \exp\{(M\nu + \nu + C)(\widetilde{T} + T)\}\alpha^{(\widetilde{T}+T)^{-1}(t-u)-2}$$

which together with  $\alpha^{(\tilde{T}+T)^{-1}} \leq e^{-\rho/4}$  implies

$$\|\Phi_{t,u}\| \le M \exp\{(M\nu + \nu + C)(\widetilde{T} + T)\}\alpha^{-2}e^{-\rho(t-u)/4}, \quad \forall t \ge u \ge T_0$$

From this it is not difficult to see that (8) holds for some large enough constant  $C_1$  and  $\beta = e^{-\rho/4} \in (0, 1)$ .

**Corollary 1:** Suppose that F(0) is stable, F(t) satisfies

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t ||F(s) - F(0)|| \, \mathrm{d} s \le v$$

Then there exists a real number  $v_0$  such that for any  $v \in [0, v_0)$ ,  $\{x(t)\}$  generated by (6) is exponentially stable.

The proof is similar to that in Lemma 1. It is omitted here.

**Lemma 2:** Suppose that  $\{x_t\}$  is generated by

$$dx_t = F(t)x_t dt + v_t dt + G_t dw_t$$
 (19)

where  $\{w_t\}$  is a standard brownian motion,  $\sup_{t\geq 0} \|G_t\| < \infty$ ,  $G_t$  and  $v_t$  are  $\mathcal{F}_t \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \sigma\{x_s\colon 0 \leq s \leq t\}$  measurable, and  $\{v_t\}$  is uniformly bounded in the sense that

$$\int_0^t ||v_s||^2 \, \mathrm{d}s = O(t+1) \tag{20}$$

If F(t) satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 1 for some constants C > 0,  $\alpha > 0$  and a deterministic sequence  $\{t_k\}$ , then there exists a constant  $v_0$  such that for any  $v \in [0, v_0)$ 

$$\int_0^t ||x_s||^2 \, \mathrm{d}s = O(t+1) \tag{21}$$

**Proof:** Let  $\Phi_{t,s}$  denote the fundamental matrix of F(t) given by (7). Then, by the argument of Lemma 1, we have for some (deterministic) constants  $C_1$  and  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ 

$$\|\Phi_{t,s}\| \le C_1 e^{-\beta(t-s)}, \quad \forall t \ge s \ge 0$$
 (22)

From (19) we see that

$$x_{t} = \Phi_{t,0}x_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \Phi_{t,s}v_{s} \,ds + \int_{0}^{t} \Phi_{t,s}G_{s} \,dw_{s}$$
 (23)

From (22) it follows that

$$\int_{0}^{t} \left\| \int_{0}^{\lambda} \Phi_{\lambda,s} v_{s} \, ds \right\|^{2} d\lambda \le C_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left( \int_{0}^{\lambda} e^{-\beta(\lambda-s)} |v_{s}| \, ds \right)^{2} d\lambda \le \frac{C_{1}^{2}}{\beta^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} |v_{s}|^{2} \, ds \qquad (24)$$

Therefore, from (20), (24) and (23) we see that in order to prove (21) it suffices to show

$$\int_{0}^{t} ||g_{\lambda}||^{2} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = O(t+1) \tag{25}$$

where

$$g_t = \int_0^t \! \Phi_{t,s} G_s \, \mathrm{d}w_s \tag{26}$$

To this end, set  $V_t = g_t^{\tau} P_t g_t$ , where

$$P_t = E\left(\int_t^\infty \Phi_{s,t}^\tau \Phi_{s,t} \, \mathrm{d}s \, \middle| \, \mathscr{F}_t\right)$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\dot{P}_t = -I - F^{\tau}(t)P_t - P_t F(t) \tag{27}$$

and by (22)

$$||P_t|| \le C_1^2 \int_t^\infty e^{-2\beta(s-t)} ds = C_1^2/(2\beta)$$
 (28)

From (26) we see

$$dg_t = F(t)g_t dt + G_t dw_t$$
 with  $g_0 = 0$ 

which together with (27) implies that

$$dV_{t} = -\|g_{t}\|^{2} dt + tr(P_{t}G_{t}^{\tau}G_{t}) dt + 2g_{t}^{\tau}P_{t}G_{t} dw_{t}$$

From this it follows that

$$\int_{0}^{t} ||g_{s}||^{2} ds \leq V_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} |\operatorname{tr}(P_{s}G_{s}^{\tau}G_{s})|^{2} ds + 2 \int_{0}^{t} g_{s}^{\tau}P_{s}G_{s} dw_{s}$$
 (29)

Noticing that (see for example, Christopeit 1986)

$$\int_0^t g_s^{\tau} P_s G_s \, \mathrm{d}w_s = O\left(\left[\int_0^t \|g_s^{\tau} P_s G_s\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s\right]^{1/2 + \varepsilon}\right), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

by (28), (29) and the condition  $\sup_{t\geq 0} \|G_t\| < \infty$  we get (25), and hence, (21).  $\square$ 

# Lemma 3: Assume that

(a) there exists a real number K such that for all  $t \ge 0$ 

$$\dot{r}(t) \le s(t)r(t) + K$$
, and (30)

(b) there exists a positive real  $\delta$  such that  $\{s(t)\}\in S_a(-\delta)$ 

$$\sup_{\substack{t \ge 0 \\ 0 \le u \le T}} \int_{t}^{t+u} s(\lambda) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda < \infty, \quad \text{for any fixed } T < \infty \tag{31}$$

Then,  $\{r(t)\}$  subject to (30) is uniformly upper-bounded. In addition, if K=0 and  $\{r(t)\}$  is non-negative, then  $\{r(t)\}$  converges exponentially to zero.

**Proof:** From (30) it is easy to see that

$$r(t) \le \exp\left\{\int_0^t s(\lambda) \, d\lambda\right\} r(0) + K \int_0^t \exp\left\{\int_u^t s(\lambda) \, d\lambda\right\} du$$
 (32)

Noticing that  $\{s(t)\}\in S_a(-\delta)$ , we can find a sufficiently large number  $T<\infty$  such that for all  $u\geqslant T$  and  $t-u\geqslant T$ 

$$\int_{u}^{t} s(\lambda) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \le -\frac{\delta}{2} \, (t - u)$$

Therefore, from (31) and (32) it follows that there is a constant  $C_2$  independent of K such that for all  $t \ge 2T$ 

$$r(t) \leq \left[ |r(0)| \exp\left\{ \int_0^T s(\lambda) \, d\lambda \right\} \right] \exp\left\{ -\frac{\delta}{2} (t - T) \right\} + |K| \int_{t - T}^t \exp\left\{ \int_u^t s(\lambda) \, d\lambda \right\} du$$

$$+ |K| \int_0^T \exp\left\{ \int_u^T s(\lambda) \, d\lambda \right\} du \exp\left\{ -\frac{\delta}{2} (t - T) \right\}$$

$$+ |K| \int_T^{t - T} \exp\left\{ -\frac{\delta}{2} (t - u) \right\} du$$

$$= C_2 \left( \exp\left\{ -\frac{\delta}{2} (t - T) \right\} \right) + C_2 |K|$$

which, together with (31), leads to the desired results.

## 3. Conclusions

In Lemmas 1 and 2, Condition (5) is obviously weaker than

$$\sup_{t\geq 0}\|F(t)\|<\infty\quad\text{and}\quad\sup_{t\geq 0}\left\{\max\left\{\Re\lambda_i(F(t)),\ i=1,\ \ldots,\ n\right\}\right\}\leqslant -\alpha<0$$

This is essential for the analysis of stability of an adaptive control system or a hybrid system with parameters which rarely jump.

Moreover, from Definition 2, and Lemmas 1 and 2 it is easy to see if the system with 'frozen' parameters at time instant  $t_k$  is stable and the parameters vary slowly in the mean, then the real system with time-varying parameters is also stable. This fact has been used to investigate stability of a hybrid system with feedback control and with time-varying but snapshot parameters (see Caines and Zhang 1992).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is greatly indebted to Professor Peter E. Caines for the helpful suggestions on choosing  $P_t$  in the proof of Lemma 2 and on improving the readability of this paper. This research was supported by Canadian NSERC Grant A1329.

#### REFERENCES

- ÅSTRÖM, K. J., and WITTENMARK, B., 1989, *Adaptive Control* (New York: Addison-Wesley). Caines, P. E., 1988, *Linear Stochastic Systems* (New York: Wiley).
- Caines, P. E., and Zhang, J. F., 1992, Adaptive control of hybrid systems with rare jumps. *Proceedings of the 31st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Tucson, Arizona, December, 1992.
- CHEN, H. F., and Guo, L., 1991, *Identification and Stochastic Adaptive Control* (Boston, Mass: Birkhäuser).
- Christopeit, N., 1986, Quasi-least squares estimation and semi-martingale regressions. Stochastics, 16, 255–278.
- DESOER, C. A., 1969, Slowly varying system  $\dot{x} = A(t)x$ . *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **14**, 780–781; 1970, Slowly varying discrete system  $X_{i+1} = A_i X_i$ . *Electronics Letters*, **6**, 339–340.
- Desoer, C. A., and Vidyasagar, M., 1975, Feedback Systems: Input-Output Properties (New York: Academic Press).
- EZZINE, J., and HADDAD, A. H., 1989, On the largest-Lyapunov exponent of assignment and almost sure stabilization of hybrid systems. *Proceedings of American Control Conference*, pp. 805–809.
- FENG, X. B., LOPARO, K. A., JI, Y. D., and CHIZECK, H. J., 1992, Stochastic stability properties of jump linear systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 37, 38–52.
- GIRI, F., M'SAAD, M., DION, J. M., and DUGARD, L., 1990, General lemma for the stability analysis of discrete-time adaptive control. *International Journal of Control*, 51, 283-288.
- GOODWIN, G. C., GEVERS, M., MAYNE, D. Q., and WERTZ, V., 1991, Stochastic adaptive control: results and perspectives. *Topics in Stochastic Systems: Modelling, Estimation* and Adaptive Control, edited by L. Gerencsér and P. E. Caines. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, Vol. 161 (Heidelberg, Germany: Springer-Verlag).
- ORTEGA, R., and TANG, Y., 1989, Robustness of adaptive controllers: a survey. *Automatica*, **25**, 651-677.
- ROSENBROCK, H. H., 1963, The stability of linear time-dependent control systems. *Journal of Electronics and Control*, **15**, 73–80.
- Sworder, D. D., and Chou, D. S., 1985, A survey of design methods for random parameter systems. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pp. 894–899.