

## Chapter 10

# Boolean Field

Logical functions (or Boolean functions) and the Boolean field are very important in many applications, including computer science and cryptography.

### 10.1 Boolean Functions in Galois Field $\mathbb{Z}_2$

Let  $p$  be a prime number and denote by

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}.$$

Then define the addition  $\oplus$  and the multiplication  $\odot$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  as

$$\begin{cases} a \oplus b := a + b \pmod{p} \\ a \odot b := ab \pmod{p} \end{cases} \quad (10.1)$$

Then  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, \oplus, \odot)$  becomes a field, which is called a Galois field. Note that when  $p = 2$  we have  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathcal{D}$ . For statement ease, we simply call  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  the Galois field. Throughout this chapter we assume  $p = 2$  we call  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  a Boolean field. In this case, instead of  $\mathcal{D}$ , we use  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , which means the operators on  $\mathcal{D}$  are  $\oplus$  and  $\odot$ .

It is obvious that in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$   $\oplus$  and  $\odot$  are two logical operators. In fact, we have

$$\oplus = \bar{\vee}, \quad \odot = \wedge.$$

Now a natural question is: is  $\{\oplus, \odot\}$  an adequate set of logical operators? The answer is: “Yes”. Because

$$\neg x = 1 \oplus x,$$

and it is well known that  $\{\neg, \wedge\}$  is an adequate set. It follows that any Boolean function can be expressed via  $\oplus$  and  $\odot$ . Throughout this chapter a logical function is always called a Boolean function. For the sake of compactness, we simply denote

$$\begin{cases} a \oplus b = a + b \\ a \odot b = ab, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2. \end{cases}$$

Consider an element in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . We propose the following three ways to express it.

(i) Component-wise (C-W) Form:

$$X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (10.2)$$

(ii) Scalar Form: Consider  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$  as a binary number. Then in decimal form we have a number as

$$\chi = x_1 2^{n-1} + x_2 2^{n-2} + \dots + x_n, \quad (10.3)$$

where  $0 \leq \chi \leq 2^n - 1$ .

(iii) Vector form: Identify  $1 \sim \delta_2^1$  and  $0 \sim \delta_2^2$ , then  $x_i \in \Delta_2$  and we set

$$x := \times_{i=1}^n x_i \in \Delta_{2^n}. \quad (10.4)$$

It is obvious that these three expressions are equivalent. To convert one form to another, we need the following formula.

**Proposition 10.1.** *Let  $\chi$  be a scalar form of  $x \in \Delta_{2^n}$ . Then*

$$x = \delta_{2^n}^{2^n - \chi}. \quad (10.5)$$

Equivalently, let  $x = \delta_{2^n}^t$ . Then

$$\chi = 2^n - t. \quad (10.6)$$

Using the definitions and Proposition 10.1, it is easy to convert an element in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  from one form to another. We give an example for this.

*Example 10.1.* Let  $n = 8$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi = 51 & \Leftrightarrow X = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1) & \Leftrightarrow x = \delta_{2^8}^{205}; \\ X = (1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0) & \Leftrightarrow \chi = 2^7 + 2^6 + 2^3 + 2^1 = 202 & \Leftrightarrow x = \delta_{2^8}^{54}; \\ x = \delta_{2^8}^{120} & \Leftrightarrow \chi = 2^8 - 120 = 136 & \Leftrightarrow X = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  be a logical mapping. It is well known that there exists a matrix  $M_f \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^n}$ , called the structure matrix of  $f$ , such that in vector form  $f$  can be expressed as

$$y := f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = M_f \times_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad x_i \in \Delta. \quad (10.7)$$

When  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  are expressed into its scalar form, the mapping can be expressed into its vector form as

$$\mathbf{f} := (f(0), f(1), \dots, f(2^n - 1)). \quad (10.8)$$

Then it follows from the definition that

**Proposition 10.2.** Denote the first row of  $M_f$  as  $m^f$ , i.e.,  $m^f = \text{Row}_1(M_f)$ . Then

$$m_i^f \pmod{2} = \mathbf{f}_{2^{n+1-i}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2^n. \quad (10.9)$$

*Example 10.2.* 1. Let  $M_f = \delta_2[1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2]$ . Then

$$\mathbf{f} = (0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1).$$

2. Let  $\mathbf{f} = (1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0)$ . Then

$$M_f = \delta_2[2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1].$$

As a convention in cryptography, in the following we will not distinct the three forms of  $X \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad x_i \in \mathcal{D} \Leftrightarrow \\ x &= \times_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad x_i \in \Delta_2 = \delta_{2^n}^{2^n - x} \Leftrightarrow \\ \chi &= x_1 2^{n-1} + x_2 2^{n-2} + \dots + x_n = \chi = 2^n - t, \text{ while } x = \delta_{2^n}^t. \end{aligned}$$

## 10.2 Polynomial Form of Boolean Functions

To get polynomial expression of Boolean functions we need some new notations.

**Definition 10.1.** 1. Let  $X, C \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  be  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ . Define

$$x_i^1 := x_i, \quad x_i^0 := \neg x_i. \quad (10.10)$$

Then

$$x_i^{c_i} := \begin{cases} 1, & x_i = c_i \\ 0, & x_i \neq c_i. \end{cases} \quad (10.11)$$

2.

$$X^C := \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{c_i} = \begin{cases} 1, & X = C \\ 0, & X \neq C. \end{cases} \quad (10.12)$$

According to the definition, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 10.3.** Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ .  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . Then

1.

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} f(i)X^i. \quad (10.13)$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= a_0 + a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n + a_{12}x_1x_2 + \cdots \\ &\quad + a_{n-1n}x_{n-1}x_n + \cdots + a_{12\cdots n}x_1x_2\cdots x_n \\ &= a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_k \leq n} a_{j_1 \cdots j_k} x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.14)$$

*Proof.* (10.13) follows from definitions immediately. By definition,

$$x_i^0 = \begin{cases} 1, & x_i = 0 \\ 0, & x_i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$x_i^0 = x_i + 1.$$

Then (10.14) comes from (10.13) by replacing  $x_i^0$  by  $x_i + 1$  and multiplying out.  $\square$

(10.14) is called the polynomial form of  $f(x)$ .  $\deg(f(x))$  is defined as the degree of the polynomial form of  $f(x)$ . When  $\deg(f(x)) = 1$  it is called an affine function. Set of affine functions is denoted by  $L_n[x]$ . An affine function with  $a_0 = 0$  is called a linear function.

*Example 10.3.* Consider

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1 \wedge x_2) \leftrightarrow x_3.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= f(0, 0, 0) = 1, \quad f(1) = f(0, 0, 1) = 0, \quad f(2) = f(0, 1, 0) = 1, \\ f(3) &= f(0, 1, 1) = 0, \quad f(4) = f(1, 0, 0) = 1, \quad f(5) = f(1, 0, 1) = 0, \\ f(6) &= f(1, 1, 0) = 0, \quad f(7) = f(1, 1, 1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then the C-W form of  $f$  is

$$\mathbf{f} = (1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1).$$

The polynomial form of  $f$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x_1^0 x_2^0 x_3^0 + x_1^0 x_2^1 x_3^0 + x_1^1 x_2^0 x_3^0 + x_1^1 x_2^1 x_3^1 \\ &= (1 + x_1)(1 + x_2)(1 + x_3) + (1 + x_1)x_2(1 + x_3) + x_1(1 + x_2)(1 + x_3) + x_1x_2x_3 \\ &= 1 + x_3 + x_1x_2. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{BF}_n$  the set of logical functions  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ , which is a vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{BA}_n$  its affine subspace and  $\mathcal{BL}_n$  its linear subspace.

### 10.3 Walsh Transformation

**Definition 10.2.** Let  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \Omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ .

1. The inner product of  $X$  and  $\Omega$  is defined as

$$X \cdot \Omega = x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_n \omega_n \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n. \quad (10.15)$$

2. Define a function  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  as:

$$Q_\Omega(X) = (-1)^{\Omega \cdot X}. \quad (10.16)$$

**Lemma 10.1.** Assume  $\Omega \neq 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{\Omega \cdot X} = 0. \quad (10.17)$$

*Proof.* Assume  $\omega_i \neq 0$ . For each  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  satisfying  $\Omega \cdot X = 0$ , we construct an  $X^* = (x_1, \dots, \neg x_i, \dots, x_n)$ , which satisfies  $\Omega \cdot X^* = 1$ . Since  $X \leftrightarrow X^*$  is a one-to-one correspondence, it follows that

$$|\{X | \Omega \cdot X = 0\}| = |\{X | \Omega \cdot X = 1\}|.$$

Then (10.17) is obvious.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.4.**  $\{Q_\Omega(x) | \Omega = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$  is a set of orthogonal functions. Precisely,

$$\mathbf{Q}_U \cdot \mathbf{Q}_V = \begin{cases} 2^n, & U = V \\ 0, & U \neq V. \end{cases} \quad (10.18)$$

*Proof.* Assume  $U = V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_U \cdot \mathbf{Q}_V &= \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{U \cdot x} (-1)^{V \cdot x} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{2U \cdot x} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^0 = 2^n. \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $U \neq V$ . Since  $U \neq V, U + V \neq 0$ . Using Lemma 10.1), we have

$$\mathbf{Q}_U \cdot \mathbf{Q}_V = \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{(U+V) \cdot x} = 0.$$

$\square$

Since  $\{Q_\Omega(x) | \Omega = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$  is a set of orthogonal functions, then for any  $f \in \mathcal{BF}_n$  its vector form can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = \sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} S_f(\omega) \mathbf{Q}_\omega(x). \quad (10.19)$$

Then  $S_f(\omega)$  is called the first Walsh transformation of  $f$ .

**Proposition 10.5.** *The first Walsh transformation is calculated as*

$$S_f(\omega) = 2^{-n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) Q_x(\omega). \quad (10.20)$$

*Proof.* For any fixed  $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}(x) \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{\omega_0}(x) &= \left( \sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} S_f(\omega) \mathbf{Q}_\omega(x) \right) \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{\omega_0}(x) \\ &= S_f(\omega_0) \mathbf{Q}_{\omega_0}(x) \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{\omega_0}(x) \\ &= 2^n S_f(\omega_0). \end{aligned} \quad (10.21)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbf{f}(x) \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{\omega_0}(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} Q_{\omega_0}(x) f(x).$$

Hence,

$$S_f(\omega_0) = 2^{-n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} Q_{\omega_0}(x) f(x).$$

□

Next, we consider another Walsh Transportation. Define

$$g(x) := 1 - 2f(x). \quad (10.22)$$

We have the expression of  $\mathbf{g}(x)$  over the basis  $\{\mathbf{Q}_\omega(x) \mid \omega = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$  as

$$\mathbf{g}(x) = \sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} S_{(f)}(\omega) \mathbf{Q}_\omega(x). \quad (10.23)$$

Again, for a fixed  $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , we have

$$\mathbf{g}(x) \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{\omega_0}(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (1 - 2f(x)) Q_{\omega_0}(x). \quad (10.24)$$

It is easy to check that

$$(-1)^{f(x)} = 1 - 2f(x). \quad (10.25)$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{g}(x) \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{\omega_0}(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{f(x)} Q_{\omega_0}(x). \quad (10.26)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbf{g}(x) \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{\omega_0}(x) = \left( \sum_{\emptyset=0}^{2^n-1} S_{(f)}(\emptyset) Q_{\omega_0}(x) \right) \cdot Q_{\omega_0}(x) = 2^n \times S_{(f)}(\omega_0).$$

We conclude that

$$S_{(f)}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{f(x)} Q_{\omega}(x).$$

**Definition 10.3.** For a Boolean function  $f(x)$ ,

$$S_{(f)}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{f(x)} Q_{\omega}(x) \quad (10.27)$$

is called the second Walsh Transformation of  $f$ .

From (10.22) and (10.23) we have

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} S_{(f)}(\omega) Q_{\omega}(x). \quad (10.28)$$

Next, we consider the relationship between the two Walsh Transformations, we have

**Proposition 10.6.**  $S_{(f)}(\omega)$  and  $S_f(\omega)$  have the following relationships

$$S_{(f)}(\omega) = \begin{cases} -2S_f(\omega), & \omega \neq 0 \\ 1 - 2S_f(\omega), & \omega = 0 \end{cases} \quad (10.29)$$

*Proof.* Using (10.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(f)}(\omega) &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{f(x)} Q_{\omega}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (1 - 2f(x)) Q_{\omega}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} Q_{\omega}(x) - \frac{2}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) Q_{\omega}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (10.30)$$

Using Lemma 10.1, we have

$$S_{(f)}(\omega) = \begin{cases} -2S_f(\omega), & \omega \neq 0 \\ 1 - 2S_f(\omega), & \omega = 0. \end{cases}$$

□

In the following we consider some interesting properties of Walsh transformations.

**Proposition 10.7.** *Let  $S_f(\omega)$  be the Walsh Transportation of  $f(x)$ , then for any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ , the Walsh transformation of  $f(x+a)$  is*

$$S_{f(x+a)}(\omega) = Q(\omega, a)S_f(\omega). \quad (10.31)$$

*Proof.* By definition, the Walsh Transportation of  $f(x+a)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{f(x+a)}(\omega) &= 2^{-n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{\omega \cdot x} f(x+a) \\ &= (-1)^{2\omega \cdot a} \cdot 2^{-n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{\omega \cdot x} f(x+a) \\ &= (-1)^{\omega \cdot a} \cdot 2^{-n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{\omega \cdot (x+a)} f(x+a) \\ &= (-1)^{\omega \cdot a} \cdot 2^{-n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{\omega \cdot x} f(x) \\ &= Q(\omega, a)S_f(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 10.8.** *Let  $S_f(\omega)$  be the Walsh Transportation of  $f(x)$ ,  $S_g(\omega)$  be the Walsh Transportation of  $g(x)$ , then the Walsh Transportation of  $af(x) + bg(x)$  is*

$$S_{af(x)+bg(x)}(\omega) = aS_f(\omega) + bS_g(\omega). \quad (10.32)$$

*Proof.* Starting from its definition, the Walsh Transportation of  $af(x) + bg(x)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{af(x)+bg(x)}(\omega) &= 2^{-n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{\omega \cdot x} (af(x) + bg(x)) \\ &= a \cdot 2^{-n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{\omega \cdot x} f(x) + b \cdot 2^{-n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{\omega \cdot x} g(x) \\ &= aS_f(\omega) + bS_g(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 10.9 (Plancheral Equation).**

$$\sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} S_f^2(\omega) = S_f(0) \quad (10.33)$$

*Proof.* Since

$$S_f(\omega) = 2^{-n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} Q_\omega(x)f(x),$$

we have



$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} S_f^2(\omega) &= 2^{-2n} \sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} \left( \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} Q_\omega(x) f(x) \right) \left( \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} Q_\omega(x) f(x) \right) \\
&= 2^{-2n} \sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} \left( \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} Q_\omega(x) \cdot Q_\omega(x) f^2(x) + \sum_{x_1 \neq x_2} (-1)^{(x_1+x_2) \cdot \omega} f(x_1) f(x_2) \right) \\
&= 2^{-2n} \sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} \left( \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) + \sum_{x_1 \neq x_2} (-1)^{(x_1+x_2) \cdot \omega} f(x_1) f(x_2) \right) \\
&= 2^{-2n} \sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) + 2^{-2n} \sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} \sum_{x_1 \neq x_2} (-1)^{(x_1+x_2) \cdot \omega} f(x_1) f(x_2) \\
&= 2^{-n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) + 2^{-2n} \sum_{x_1 \neq x_2} \sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{(x_1+x_2) \cdot \omega} f(x_1) f(x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , then  $x_1 + x_2 \neq 0$ . It follows from Lemma 10.1 that

$$\sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{(x_1+x_2) \cdot \omega} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} S_f^2(\omega) = 2^{-n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) = S_f(0).$$

□

**Proposition 10.10 (Parseval Equation).** *For the second Walsh transformation we have*

$$\sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} S_{(f)}^2(\omega) = 1. \quad (10.34)$$

*Proof.* Using Proposition 10.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} S_{(f)}^2(\omega) &= (1 - 2S_f(0))^2 + \sum_{\omega=1}^{2^n-1} S_f^2(\omega) \\
&= 1 - 4S_f(0) + 4 \sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} S_f^2(\omega) \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the last equality comes from Proposition 10.9. □

Next, we investigate the matrix converting form between  $\mathbf{f}$  and its Walsh transformation  $\mathbf{S}_f$ . Because of the symmetry, we denote

$$Q(\omega, x) := Q_\omega(x).$$

From (10.19), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (f(0), f(1), \dots, f(2^n - 1)) \\
&= (S_f(0), S_f(1), \dots, S_f(2^n - 1)) \begin{pmatrix} Q(0,0) & Q(0,1) & \dots & Q(0,2^n-1) \\ Q(1,0) & Q(1,1) & \dots & Q(1,2^n-1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ Q(2^n-1,0) & Q(2^n-1,1) & \dots & Q(2^n-1,2^n-1) \end{pmatrix} \\
&:= (S_f(0), S_f(1), \dots, S_f(2^n - 1))H_n.
\end{aligned} \tag{10.35}$$

Since  $Q(a,b) = Q(b,a)$ ,  $H_n$  is symmetric. Then the above equation can also be expressed briefly as

$$\mathbf{f} = H_n \mathbf{S}_f. \tag{10.36}$$

**Definition 10.4.** Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times s}$ .  $A$  is called a Hadamard matrix, if it satisfies

(i)

$$a_{ij} = \pm 1, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq s;$$

(ii)

$$A^T A = A A^T = s I_s.$$

**Proposition 10.11.** The transfer matrix  $H_n$ , defined in (10.35), satisfies

(i)

$$H_{n+1} = H_1 \otimes H_n;$$

(ii)

$$H_n H_n = 2^n I(2^n);$$

(iii)  $H_n$  is a Hadamard Matrix.

*Proof.* Item (ii) follows from Proposition 10.4 immediately. Then (iii) is obvious.

We prove (i) only. Consider  $H_{n+1}$ . It can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{n+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} Q(0,0) & \dots & Q(0,2^n-1) & Q(0,2^n) & \dots & Q(0,2^{n+1}-1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ Q(2^n-1,0) & \dots & Q(2^n-1,2^n-1) & Q(2^n-1,2^n) & \dots & Q(2^n-1,2^{n+1}-1) \\ Q(2^n,0) & \dots & Q(2^n,2^n-1) & Q(2^n,2^n) & \dots & Q(2^n,2^{n+1}-1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ Q(2^{n+1}-1,0) & \dots & Q(2^{n+1}-1,2^n-1) & Q(2^{n+1}-1,2^n) & \dots & Q(2^{n+1}-1,2^{n+1}-1) \end{pmatrix} \\
&:= \begin{pmatrix} H_n^1 & H_n^2 \\ H_n^2 & H_n^3 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $H_n^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  are of the same size.

Consider  $H_n^1$ , and denote it as

$$H_n^1 = (Q^1(\omega, x)),$$

where

$$x = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^n}), \quad \omega = (0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{2^n}),$$

and both  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^n})$  and  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{2^n})$  run from  $(0, \dots, 0)$  to  $(1, \dots, 1)$ . Then it is obvious that  $H_n^1 = H_n$ .

Next, consider  $H_n^2$ , and denote it as

$$H_n^2 = (Q^2(\omega, x)),$$

where

$$x = (1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^n}), \quad \omega = (0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{2^n}),$$

and both  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^n})$  and  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{2^n})$  run from  $(0, \dots, 0)$  to  $(1, \dots, 1)$ . Then it is obvious that  $H_n^2 = H_n$ .

Finally, we consider  $H_n^3$ , and denote it as

$$H_n^3 = (Q^3(\omega, x)),$$

where

$$x = (1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^n}), \quad \omega = (1, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{2^n}).$$

Similar argument shows that  $H_n^3 = -H_n$ .

Note that

$$H(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then it is clear that

$$H_{n+1} = H_1 \otimes H_n.$$

□

## 10.4 Linear Structure

**Definition 10.5.** Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  be a Boolean function.

1.  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  is called an invariant linear structure (ILS) of  $f$ , if

$$f(x+a) + f(x) = 0. \quad (10.37)$$

2.  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  is called a variant linear structure (VLS) of  $f$ , if

$$f(x+a) + f(x) = 1. \quad (10.38)$$

3. Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &:= \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid f(x+a) + f(x) = 0\} \\ E_1 &:= \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid f(x+a) + f(x) = 1\} \\ E &:= E_0 \cup E_1. \end{aligned} \quad (10.39)$$

Then  $E$  is called the linear structure subspace of  $f$ .

- Proposition 10.12.** 1.  $E_0 \cap E_1 = \emptyset$ .  
 2.  $E$  is a vector space and  $E_0 \subset E$  is a vector subspace.  
 3. Assume  $E_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $E_1 = E_0 + a$ , where  $a \in E_1$ .

*Proof.* 1. It is obvious.

2. • Let  $a, b \in E_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+a+b) + f(x) \\ &= f(x+a+b) + f(x+a) + f(x+a) + f(x) \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $a+b \in E_0 \subset E$ .

- Let  $a \in E_0$  and  $b \in E_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+a+b) + f(x) \\ &= f(x+a+b) + f(x+a) + f(x+a) + f(x) \\ &= 1 + 0 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $a+b \in E_1 \subset E$ .

- Let  $a, b \in E_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+a+b) + f(x) \\ &= f(x+a+b) + f(x+a) + f(x+a) + f(x) \\ &= 1 + 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $a+b \in E_0 \subset E$ .

Hence  $E$  is a vector space. Moreover, case 1 proved that  $E_0$  is a vector subspace.

3. It was proved that if  $a \in E_0$ ,  $b \in E_1$ , then  $a+b \in E_1$ . That is,  $E_0 + b \subset E_1$ . Now assume  $\xi \in E_1$ , then  $\xi + b \in E_0$ , and

$$\xi = (\xi + b) + b \in E_0 + b,$$

which means  $E_1 \subset E_0 + b$ . The conclusion follows. □

We have the following corollary.

**Corollary 10.1.** 1.

$$|E_0| = 2^r, \tag{10.40}$$

where  $r$  is the dimension of  $E_0$ .

2. Either  $E_1 = \emptyset$ , or

$$|E_1| = |E_0|. \tag{10.41}$$

*Proof.* 1. As a vector subspace, (10.40) is trivial.

2. Assume  $E_1 \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $b \in E_1$ . Define  $\pi_b : E_0 \rightarrow E_1$  as  $x \mapsto x + b$ , then it is easy to check that  $\pi$  is one-to-one and onto.  $\square$

**Definition 10.6.** Given a logical function  $f$ .

- (i) Let  $|E| = 2^q$ . Then  $q$  is called the dimension of linear structure of  $f$ . When  $q > 0$ ,  $f$  is called a logical function with linear structure (LFLS).  
(ii) For an LFLS  $f$ , if  $E_0 \neq \{0\}$ , then  $f$  is said to be of type  $I$ , if  $E_0 = \{0\}$ , it is said to be of type  $II$ .

Next, we consider how to calculate  $E_0$  and  $E_1$ . Let  $f : \mathcal{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a logical function with its structure matrix  $M_f \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^n}$ . Denote by  $\alpha = \times_{i=1}^n a_i$ ,  $x = \times_{i=1}^n x_i$ . Then it is easy to see that  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in E_1$ , iff

$$M_f M_p a_1 x_1 M_p a_2 x_2 \cdots M_p a_n x_n = M_f x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n. \quad (10.42)$$

A straightforward computation shows that (10.42) is equivalent to

$$M_f M_p \times_{i=1}^{n-1} (I_{2^{2i}} \otimes M_p) \times_{i=1}^{n-1} (I_{2^i} \otimes W_{[2, 2^i]}) \alpha x = M_f x. \quad (10.43)$$

Define

$$\Psi_f := M_f M_p \times_{i=1}^{n-1} (I_{2^{2i}} \otimes M_p) \times_{i=1}^{n-1} (I_{2^i} \otimes W_{[2, 2^i]}),$$

and split it into  $2^n$  blocks as

$$\Psi_f = [\psi_1 \ \psi_2 \ \cdots \ \psi_{2^n}],$$

where  $\psi_k = \text{Blk}_k(\Psi_f)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ . Then the following result is straightforward verifiable.

**Theorem 10.1.** Let  $\alpha = \times_{i=1}^n a_i := \delta_{2^n}^i$ . Then  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in E_0$ , iff  $\psi_i = M_f \cdot (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in E_1$ , iff  $\psi_i = M_n M_f$ .

*Example 10.4.* 1. Assume the structure matrix of  $f$  is

$$M_f = \delta_2 [2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1].$$

Then

$$M_n M_f = \delta_2 [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2].$$

It is easy to calculate that

$$\Psi_f = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $\psi_8 = M_f$  and  $\psi_1 = M_n M_f$ , we have that

$$(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in E_0,$$

and

$$(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \in E_1.$$

Now  $|E_0| = |E_1| = 1$ , hence  $|E| = 2$ ,  $\dim(E) = 1$ , and  $f$  is an LFLS.  
2. Assume the structure matrix of  $f$  is

$$M_f = \delta_2[2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1].$$

Then

$$M_n M_f = \delta_2[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2].$$

It is easy to calculate that

$$\Psi_f = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since only  $\psi_8 = M_f$ , we have that

$$E_0 = \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\}$$

and

$$E_1 = \emptyset.$$

Now  $|E_0| = 1$  and  $|E_1| = 0$ , hence  $|E| = 1$   $f$  is not an LFLS.

## 10.5 Nonlinearity

**Definition 10.7.** 1. Let  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . The Hamming weight of  $X$  is defined as

$$w_H(X) = |\{i | x_i \neq 0\}|. \quad (10.44)$$

2. Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{BF}_n$ . The Hamming distance of  $f$  and  $g$  is defined as

$$d_H(f, g) := w_H(\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g}). \quad (10.45)$$

Next, we consider the nonlinearity of a Boolean function.

**Definition 10.8.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{BF}_n$ .

1. The nonlinearity of  $f$ , denoted by  $N_f$ , is defined as

$$N_f := \min_{\ell \in L_n[x]} d_H(f, \ell). \quad (10.46)$$

2. The linearity of  $f$ , denoted by  $C_f$ , is defined as

$$C_f := \max_{\ell \in L_n[x]} d_H(f, \ell). \quad (10.47)$$

**Definition 10.9.** Assume  $\ell(x) \in L_n[x]$  satisfies

$$d_H(\ell, f) = N_f. \quad (10.48)$$

Then  $\ell(x)$  is called the best linear approximation of  $f(x)$ .

To calculate the nonlinearity of an  $f \in \mathcal{BF}_n$  we consider the linear equivalence of  $f$ . The following theory shows the probability of linear equivalence of a Boolean function via Walsh transformation [3].

**Theorem 10.2.** Let  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2 \dots \omega_n)$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2 \dots x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  be identically distributed. Then we have

$$P(x | f(x) = \omega \cdot x) = \frac{1 + S_{(f)}(\omega)}{2}. \quad (10.49)$$

$$P(x | f(x) \neq \omega \cdot x) = \frac{1 - S_{(f)}(\omega)}{2}. \quad (10.50)$$

To prove this theorem, we need some preparations.

**Lemma 10.2.** Let  $\emptyset \neq J = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then

$$|\{x | f(x) = x_{i_1} + x_{i_2} \dots + x_{i_j}\}| = 2^{n-1} - \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} f(\xi) (-1)^{\xi_{i_1} + \dots + \xi_{i_j}}. \quad (10.51)$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \{x \mid f(x) = x_{i_1} + x_{i_2} \cdots + x_{i_j}\} \right| \\
&= \left| \{x \mid f(x) = x_{i_1} + x_{i_2} \cdots + x_{i_j} = 1\} \right| + \left| \{x \mid f(x) = x_{i_1} + x_{i_2} \cdots + x_{i_j} = 0\} \right| \\
&= \sum_{\{x \mid x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_j} = 1\}} f(x) + \left| \{x \mid x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_j} = 0\} \right| - \sum_{\{x \mid x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_j} = 0\}} f(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{10.52}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 10.1, we can prove that

$$|\{x \mid x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_j} = 0\}| = |\{x \mid x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_j} = 1\}|,$$

which implies that

$$|\{x \mid x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_j} = 0\}| = 2^{n-1}.$$

Plugging it into (10.52), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \{x \mid f(x) = x_{i_1} + x_{i_2} \cdots + x_{i_j}\} \right| \\
&= \sum_{\{x \mid x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_j} = 1\}} f(x) + 2^{n-1} - \sum_{\{x \mid x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_j} = 0\}} f(x) \\
&= 2^{n-1} - \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} f(\xi) (-1)^{\xi_{i_1} + \cdots + \xi_{i_j}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof.* (of Theorem 10.2) Note that

$$(-1)^{f(x)} = 1 - 2f(x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1+S_f(\omega)}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (1 - 2f(x)) (-1)^{\omega x} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{\omega x} \right) - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) (-1)^{\omega x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{10.53}$$

Case 1:  $\omega = 0$ .

In this case we have  $P(f(x) = \omega x) = P(f(x) = 0)$ . Consider the right hand side of (10.53), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{\omega x} \right) - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) (-1)^{\omega x} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (1) \right) - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n - \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $f \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , we have



$$\sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) = |\{x|f(x) = 1\}|.$$

It follows that

$$P(x|f(x) = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) = \frac{1 + S_{(f)}(\omega)}{2}.$$

Case 2:  $\omega \neq 0$ .

Define a subset of indices

$$J = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

such that

$$\omega_i = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in J \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By assumption  $|J| > 0$ .

Starting from (10.53) and using Lemmas 10.1 and 10.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 + S_{(f)}(\omega)}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{\omega x} \right) - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} f(x) (-1)^{\omega x} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^{n-1} - \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} f(\xi) (-1)^{\xi_{i_1} + \dots + \xi_{i_j}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} |\{x|f(x) = x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_j}\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} |\{x|f(x) = \omega x\}| \\ &= P(x|f(x) = \omega x). \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the two cases, we finally have

$$P(x|f(x) = \omega x) = \frac{1 + S_{(f)}(\omega)}{2}.$$

Then it follows that

$$P(x|f(x) \neq \omega x) = \frac{1 - S_{(f)}(\omega)}{2}.$$

□

**Theorem 10.3.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{BF}_n$  and denote

$$a = \max_{0 \leq \omega \leq 2^n-1} |S_{(f)}(\omega)|.$$

Then

$$N_f = 2^n \left( \frac{1-a}{2} \right); \quad (10.54)$$

and

$$C_f = 2^n \left( \frac{1+a}{2} \right). \quad (10.55)$$

*Proof.* For any linear function  $\ell(x) = \omega x + \omega_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(x|f(x) = \ell(x)) &= P(x|f(x) = \omega x + \omega_0) \\ &= \begin{cases} P(x|f(x) = \omega x), & \omega_0 = 0 \\ P(x|f(x) = \omega x + 1) = P(x|f(x) \neq \omega x), & \omega_0 = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$P(x|f(x) = \ell(x)) = \begin{cases} P(x|f(x) = \omega x), & \ell(x) = \omega x \\ P(x|f(x) \neq \omega x), & \ell(x) = \omega x + 1. \end{cases}$$

According to Theorem 10.2, we have

$$P(x|f(x) = \ell(x)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+S_f(\omega)}{2}, & \ell(x) = \omega x \\ \frac{1-S_f(\omega)}{2}, & \ell(x) = \omega x + 1. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\max_{\ell \in L_n[x]} P(x|f(x) = \ell(x)) = \frac{1+a}{2}; \quad (10.56)$$

and

$$\min_{\ell \in L_n[x]} P(x|f(x) = \ell(x)) = \frac{1-a}{2}. \quad (10.57)$$

Using (10.56), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_f &= \min_{\ell \in L_n[x]} w_H(f + \ell) \\ &= \min_{\ell \in L_n[x]} 2^n P(x|f(x) \neq \ell(x)) \\ &= \min_{\ell \in L_n[x]} 2^n (1 - P(x|f(x) = \ell(x))) \\ &= 2^n (1 - \max_{\ell \in L_n[x]} P(x|f(x) = \ell(x))) \\ &= 2^n \left( \frac{1-a}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (10.57), a similar argument shows that

$$C_f = 2^n \left( \frac{1+a}{2} \right).$$

□

An immediate consequence is

**Corollary 10.2.** For any  $f \in \mathcal{BF}_n$ ,

$$N_f + C_f = 2^n. \quad (10.58)$$

From Theorem 10.3 one sees that when  $a$  is smallest the corresponding  $N_f$  is largest. Since

$$\sum_{\omega=0}^{2^n-1} S_{(f)}^2 = 1,$$

when  $|S_{(f)}| = \text{const.}$ ,  $a$  reaches the smallest. In this case we have

$$|S_{(f)}| = 2^{-\frac{n}{2}}. \quad (10.59)$$

Hence we have

$$N_f = 2^n \left( \frac{1 - 2^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{2} \right) = 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}. \quad (10.60)$$

Therefore, we have the following result.

**Proposition 10.13.**

$$N_f \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}. \quad (10.61)$$

When (10.60) holds,  $f$  has the highest nonlinear degree. Such a Boolean function is called a Bent function or a complete nonlinear function, which is very important in cryptography [1].

## 10.6 Expressions of Boolean Functions

Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  be a Boolean function. It can be expressed by its structure matrix  $M_f \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^n}$  as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = M_f x,$$

where  $x = \times_{i=1}^n x_i$ . Define  $a = \text{Row}_1(M_f) \in \mathcal{B}_{1 \times 2^n}$ . It is obvious that  $a$  uniquely determines  $f$ . In fact,  $a$  is the truth table of  $f$ .

Moreover,  $f$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= a \begin{pmatrix} x_1^1 \\ x_1^0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2^1 \\ x_2^0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} x_n^1 \\ x_n^0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= a \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1 + 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_2 + 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ x_n + 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= a \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \\
&= a \left( \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \cdots \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_n \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \\
&:= aP_n \xi_n := \alpha \xi_n,
\end{aligned} \tag{10.62}$$

where  $\alpha = aP_n$  and

$$P_n = \left( \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \cdots \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_n \right), \tag{10.63}$$

$$\xi_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \tag{10.64}$$

is a basis of the polynomials on  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ .

Alternatively, we can express  $f$  into a natural alphabetic and power increasing form as

$$f(x) = \beta \eta_n \tag{10.65}$$

where  $\eta_n$  is an alphabetic and power increasing basis as

$$\eta_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \\ x_1 x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} x_n \\ x_1 x_2 x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} x_{n-1} x_n \\ \vdots \\ x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \end{bmatrix}. \tag{10.66}$$

Expression (10.62) can be obtained from

We would like to find the relationship between  $\eta_n$  and  $\xi_n$ . To achieve the goal, we may consider the position which  $x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r}$  appears in  $\xi_n$

To be specific, let  $\mu_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r}$ ,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r$  be the position where  $x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r}$  appears in  $\xi_n$ , consider

case 1:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_n \\ x_{n-1} \\ x_{n-1}x_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (10.67)$$

We have  $\mu_n = 2^0 + 1$   $\mu_{n-1} = 2^1 + 1$   $\mu_{n-1, n} = 2^1 + 2^0 + 1$

case 2:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_n \\ x_{n-1} \\ x_{n-1}x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_n \\ x_{n-1} \\ x_{n-1}x_n \\ x_{n-2} \\ x_{n-2}x_n \\ x_{n-2}x_{n-1} \\ x_{n-2}x_{n-1}x_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (10.68)$$

$\vdots$

case  $2^n$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \xi_n \quad (10.69)$$

Then we have

**Theorem 10.4.**

$$\mu_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} := \sum_{j=1}^r 2^{n-i_j} + 1. \quad (10.70)$$

*Proof.* For any  $j$ , the position where  $x_{n-j}$  appears for the first time is  $\mu_{n-j} = 2^j + 1$

Then for any  $x_{n-i_1}x_{n-i_2}\cdots x_{n-i_r}$ , we can locate  $x_{n-i_1}, x_{n-i_1}x_{n-i_2}, x_{n-i_1}x_{n-i_2}\cdots x_{n-i_r}$  in sequence, and in that way the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Using Theorem 10.4, we construct  $\Phi_n$  as follows

$$\Phi_n = \delta_{2^n} [1, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n], \quad (10.71)$$

where  $\Phi_r = (\mu_{1,2,\dots,r}, \mu_{1,2,\dots,r+1}, \dots, \mu_{n-r+1, n-r+2, \dots, n})$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then we get the conclusion that

$$\Phi_n^T \xi_n = \eta_n. \quad (10.72)$$

Finally, observing  $f = \alpha \xi_n = \beta \eta_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= aP_n \\ \beta &= \alpha \Phi_n = aP_n \Phi_n. \end{aligned} \quad (10.73)$$

## 10.7 Symmetric Boolean Function

Recall that  $S_n$  is the  $n$ -th order symmetric group. Denote by  $\mathbf{H}_n < S_n$  a subgroup of  $S_n$ .

**Definition 10.10.** A Boolean function  $f(X) \in \mathcal{BF}_n$  is said to be symmetric with respect to  $\mathbf{H}_n$ , if

$$f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{H}_n. \quad (10.74)$$

Divide the row vector  $\beta = aP_n \Phi_n$  into  $n+1$  segments as

$$\beta = [\mu_0 \mu_1 \cdots \mu_n], \quad (10.75)$$

where

$$\dim(\mu_i) = \binom{n}{i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Using it we define the projections

$$\pi_i(f) := [0 \cdots 0 \mu_i 0 \cdots 0] \eta_n, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n. \quad (10.76)$$

It is easy to see that  $\pi_i(f)$  is the  $i$ -th degree homogeneous part of  $f(x)$ .

**Theorem 10.5.**  $f$  is symmetric with respect to  $\mathbf{H}_n$ , iff  $\pi_i(f)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$  are symmetric with respect to  $\mathbf{H}_n$ .

*Proof.* Sufficiency is obvious. We prove the necessity. Assume  $f$  is symmetric with respect to  $\mathbf{H}_n$ . We prove it by contradiction. Assume there exists at least one  $i$ , such that  $\pi_i(f)$  is not symmetric with respect to  $\mathbf{H}_n$ . Assume  $i > 0$  be the smallest such  $i$ . We express  $\pi_i(f)$  as

$$\pi_i(f) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_i \leq n} c_{j_1 \cdots j_i} x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_i}. \quad (10.77)$$

Note that not all  $c_{j_1 \cdots j_i} = 0$ . Otherwise,  $\pi_i(f) = 0$  and hence it is symmetric. For any  $c_{j_1 \cdots j_i} = 1$  if  $c_{\sigma(j_1) \cdots \sigma(j_i)} = 1$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}$ , we are done. So we assume there exists  $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $c_{\sigma(j_1) \cdots \sigma(j_i)} = 0$ . Let  $X_0 = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be determined by

$$x_j = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in \{j_1, \dots, j_i\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i(f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= 1 \\ \pi_i(f)(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\pi_k(X_0) = 0, \quad k > i.$$

Hence

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}).$$

This is a contradiction.  $\square$

The following corollaries are obvious.

**Corollary 10.3.** *f is symmetric with respect to  $\mathbf{S}_n$ , if and only if for each  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , the coefficients of  $i$ -th homogeneous terms are the same. Precisely,*

$$c_{j_1 \dots j_i}, \quad 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i \leq n$$

are identically 1 or 0.

Symmetry with respect to  $\mathbf{S}_n$  is also called the complete symmetry.

**Corollary 10.4.** *There are  $2^{n+1}$  completely symmetric Boolean functions in  $\mathcal{BF}_n$ .*

*Proof.* According to Corollary 10.3, if  $f$  is symmetric with respect to  $\mathbf{S}_n$  we have either  $\pi_i(f) \equiv 0$  or  $\pi_i(f) \not\equiv 0$ . Moreover, in the later case all the coefficients must be 1. The conclusion follows.  $\square$

We may name the  $2^{n+1}$  completely symmetric Boolean functions as  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{2^n-1}$ , where  $f_i$  is decided in the following way: Converting  $i$  into binary form as

$$i \sim i_n i_{n-1} \dots i_0.$$

Then

$$f_i = \sum_{j=0}^n i_j P_j, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1, \quad (10.78)$$

where

$$P_j = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_j \leq n} \prod_{s=1}^j x_{k_s}.$$

Then the following is clear.

**Proposition 10.14.** Let  $\{f_i | i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1\}$  be the set of set of completely symmetric Boolean functions, with the indices being determined as in the above. Then the Hamming weight of  $f_i$  is

$$w_H(f_i) = \sum_{j=0}^n i_j \binom{n}{j}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1. \quad (10.79)$$

*Proof.* Since

$$w_H(P_j) = \binom{n}{j}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

the conclusion follows from (10.78) immediately.  $\square$

To consider the symmetry with respect to  $\mathcal{H}$ , we need some additional concepts.

**Definition 10.11.** Let  $G$  be a group, and  $S$  a non-empty set. A mapping  $G \times S \rightarrow S$  is called the group action of  $G$  on  $S$ , if it satisfies

(i)

$$e(s) = s, \quad \forall s \in S,$$

where  $e$  is the identity of  $G$ .

(ii)

$$g_1(g_2(s)) = (g_1g_2)(s), \quad \forall s \in S.$$

**Definition 10.12.** Assume  $G$  acts on  $S$  and  $s \in S$ .

1. The trajectory of  $s$  under the action of  $G$  is defined as

$$Gs := \{gs | g \in G\}. \quad (10.80)$$

2. The stability subgroup of  $s$  is defined as

$$G_s := \{g \in G | g(s) = s\}. \quad (10.81)$$

It is obvious that the trajectories  $\{G_s | s \in S\}$  form a partition of  $S$ . Because for  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ , either  $G_{s_1} = G_{s_2}$  or  $G_{s_1} \cap G_{s_2} = \emptyset$ .

For group action we have the following properties. [2]

**Proposition 10.15.** The length of trajectory  $Gs$  is

$$|Gs| = \frac{|G|}{|G_s|}. \quad (10.82)$$

The number of the trajectories can be obtained via the following theorem.

**Theorem 10.6. (Burnside Lemma)** Assume  $G$ , acting on  $S$ , forms  $m$  trajectories. Then

$$m|G| = \sum_{g \in G} |\text{fix}(g)|. \quad (10.83)$$



Here

$$\text{fix}(g) = \{s | g(s) = s\}.$$

Construct a sequence of sets as:

$$S_i = \{ \{j_1, \dots, j_i\} \subset \mathbb{Z} | 1 \leq j_t \leq n, t = 1, \dots, i; j_p \neq j_q, p \neq q \}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_n < \mathcal{S}_n$ . The action of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  on  $S_i$  is defined as:

$$\sigma(\{j_1, \dots, j_i\}) := \{\sigma(j_1), \dots, \sigma(j_i)\}. \quad (10.84)$$

Using Theorem 10.5 and arguing as for Corollary 10.3, we can prove the following

**Theorem 10.7.** Assume the number of trajectories of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  acting on  $S_i$  is  $m_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then the number of symmetric Boolean functions symmetric with respect to  $\mathbf{H}_n$  is

$$m = 2^{2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i}. \quad (10.85)$$

Assume a subgroup  $\mathbf{C}_n < \mathbf{S}_n$  is generated by  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ , that is,  $\mathbf{C}_n = \langle (1, 2, \dots, n) \rangle$ , which is called a cyclic subgroup. A Boolean function  $f \in \mathcal{BF}$  is said to be rotation symmetric, if it is symmetric with respect to the cyclic subgroup.

*Example 10.5.* Let  $n = 4$ , and  $\mathbf{C}_4 = \langle (1, 2, 3, 4) \rangle$  be the cyclic subgroup generated by  $(1, 2, 3, 4)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{1, 2, 3, 4\}; \\ S_2 &= \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}\}; \\ S_3 &= \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that each  $S_1$  or  $S_3$  has only one trajectory.  $S_2$  has two trajectories, which are

$$\begin{aligned} \{1, 2\} &\rightarrow \{2, 3\} \rightarrow \{3, 4\} \rightarrow \{4, 1\} \rightarrow; \\ \{1, 3\} &\rightarrow \{2, 4\} \rightarrow. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that all the  $f \in \mathcal{BF}_4$ , which are symmetric with respect to  $\mathbf{C}_4 = G\{(1, 2, 3, 4)\}$ , can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= a_0 + a_1(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) + a_2(x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_4 + x_4x_1) \\ &\quad + a_3(x_1x_3 + x_2x_4) + a_4(x_1x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_4 + x_1x_3x_4 + x_2x_3x_4), \end{aligned} \quad (10.86)$$

where  $a_i = 0$  or  $1$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ .

## Exercise 7

1. Assume  $p$  is a primer number. Show that  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, \oplus, \odot)$  is a field.

2. Let  $X = (1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1)$ . Find its scalar form  $\chi(X)$  and vector form  $x(X)$ .
3. Prove the Hamming distance defined in Definition 10.7 is a distance.  
hint: A distance should satisfy:
  - (i)  $d(x, y) \geq 0$ , and  $d(x, y) = 0$  iff  $x = y$  ;
  - (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ;
  - (iii)  $d(x, y) + d(y, z) \geq d(x, z)$ .
4. Show that

$$S_f(0) = 2^{-n} w_H(f). \quad (10.87)$$

5. Assume  $G$  acts on  $S$  and  $s \in S$ . Prove that  $G_s < G$ , i.e.,  $G_s$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .
6. Prove that the action of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  on  $S_i$ , defined by (10.84), is a group action.
7. Conclude that all the  $f \in \mathcal{BF}_5$ , which are symmetric with respect to  $\mathbf{C}_5 = G\{(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)\}$ . Give a general form of this set of Boolean functions.

## References

1. Carlet, C.: Boolean Functions for Cryptography and Error Correcting Codes. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010). Preliminary version available at <http://www-roq.inria.fr/codes/Claude.Carlet/pubs.html>
2. Dixon, J., Mortimer, B.: Permutation Groups. Springer-Verlag, London (1996)
3. Wen, Q., Niu, X., Yang, Y.: Boolean Functions in Modern Cryptography. Science Press, Beijing (2000). In Chinese