

LINEARIZATION WITH DYNAMIC COMPENSATION

CHENG DAI-ZHAN

(Institute of Systems Science, Academia Sinica)

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider an affine nonlinear system which is defined in an n -dimensional manifold M and expressed on each coordinate chart as

$$\Sigma: \dot{x} = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(x) u_i, \quad (1)$$

where $x \in M$, $f(x)$, $g_i(x)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are C^∞ vector fields. The static state feedback linearization problem is defined as that of finding a feedback law

$$u = \alpha(x) + \beta(x)v, \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha(x)$ is an $m \times 1$ C^∞ vector function, $\beta(x)$ is an $m \times m$ nonsingular C^∞ function matrix, and a diffeomorphism $z = z(x)$, such that the new system

$$\dot{z} = f(x) + g(x)\alpha(x) + g(x)\beta(x)v \quad (3)$$

can be expressed in the coordinate frame z as a completely controllable linear system

$$\dot{z} = Az + Bv. \quad (4)$$

In this paper we treat only the local linearization problem.

In (3), $g(x) := (g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x))$. We give some more notations and conventions as follows.

Let $\{X_i, i \in I\}$ be a set of vector fields. $\{X_i, i \in I\}_{LA}$ denotes the smallest Lie subalgebra of $T(M)$ containing $\{X_i, i \in I\}$; $\text{Sp}\{X_i, i \in I\}$ is the submodule of $T(M)$ generated by $\{X_i, i \in I\}$ over the ring of $C^\infty(M)$. $G := \text{Sp}\{g_i, i = 1, \dots, m\}$.

The main result for local static state feedback linearization is given by Jakubczyk and Respondek^[1] as follows.

Theorem 1. System (1) is locally static state feedback linearizable (around x_0), if and only if there exists a neighborhood U of x_0 , such that on U

i) $\Delta_k := \text{Sp}\{L_f^i g_j, i = 0, 1, \dots, k; j = 1, \dots, m\}, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ are nonsingular and involutive,

ii) $\dim(\Delta_{n-1}) = n$.

Some improvements of Theorem 1 may be found in [2—4]. There are some algorithms in [5].

Very recently, in investigating affine nonlinear systems, the dynamic compensation method has been used for searching into the invertible property and decoupling form^[6] and the linear matching problem^[7], etc.

The dynamic compensation method is that of putting integrators in some input terminals, such that a new extended dynamic system is obtained as

$$\Sigma^e: \begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) + \bar{g}^1 z^1 + g^1 v^1, \\ \dot{z}^1 = v^2, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where \bar{g}^1 and g^1 are $r \times n$ and $(m-r) \times n$ matrices respectively and $(\bar{g}^1, g^1) = g\beta^0$. System Σ^e will be called one-fold extension of the original system Σ .

In this paper the dynamic compensation method will be used to investigate the linearization problem. The idea is that: An affine nonlinear system fails to be linearizable, but by choosing a suitable subdistribution G^1 of G , the extended system Σ^e of Σ may be linearizable. A simple example demonstrates that.

Example 1. Consider the following system

$$\Sigma: \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2 + (x_1 + 1)u_1 + x_2u_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 = x_3 + 1 + x_2u_2, \\ \dot{x}_3 = \cos(x_1 + x_3)u_2. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Since it is easy to check that G is not involutive, system (6) is not static state feedback linearizable. Choosing $\bar{g}^1 = g_1, g^1 = g_2$, one may prove that the extended system is linearizable.

2. DYNAMIC STATE FEEDBACK LINEARIZATION

An affine nonlinear system Σ is called one-fold dynamic state feedback linearizable if there exists an extension Σ^e of Σ , such that Σ^e is static state feedback linearizable.

Let G^1 be a subdistribution of G . We define a sequence of nested distributions inductively as

$$\begin{cases} D_0 = G^1, \\ D_i = \Delta_{i-1} + L_i^1 G^1, \quad i \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $\Delta_k, k \geq 0$, are defined as in Theorem 1. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. System (1) is one-fold dynamic state feedback linearizable, if and only if there exists a subdistribution G^1 of G , such that

- i) $D_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, are nonsingular and involutive;
- ii) $\dim(D_{n-1}) = n$.

Proof. Choosing G^1 , the extended system (5) may be built. According to Theorem 1, Σ^e is static state linearizable if and only if, $\Delta_i^e, i = 0, 1, \dots, n+r-1$ are nonsingular and involutive, and $\dim(\Delta_{n+r-1}^e) = n+r$. Hence the proof is completed by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. The following two sets of conditions are equivalent:

- i) the distributions $D_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, are nonsingular and involutive,
 ii) $\dim(D_{n-1}) = n$;
 i)' the distributions $\Delta_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, n+r-1$, are nonsingular and involutive,
 ii)' $\dim(\Delta_{n+r-1}^c) = n+r$.

Proof. We claim that under either i) and ii) or i)' and ii)', the following relation holds:

$$\Delta_k^c = \text{Sp} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, X \in D_k \right\} + \text{Sp} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_{m-r} \end{bmatrix} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Assuming i) and ii), we prove (8) inductively. It is obviously true for $k=0$. Suppose it is true for k . Note that

$$\Delta_{k+1}^c = \Delta_k^c + L_{f^c} \Delta_k^c.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$L_{f^c} \begin{bmatrix} L_i^c g_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_i^{c+1} g_i + \sum_i z_i^c [L_i^c g_i, \bar{g}_i^c] \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

By the involutivity of D_k , we have $[L_i^c g_i, \bar{g}_i^c] \in D_k$. Thus (9) implies (8).

Assuming i)' and ii)', equation (8) can be proved in a similar way. Using (8), the equivalence of i), ii) and i)', ii)' is obvious. \square

Comparing Theorem 2 with Theorem 1, it is clear that Theorem 1 is a special case of Theorem 2 with $G^1 = G$.

3. MULTI-FOLD CASE

Sometimes it is possible that the one-fold integrator is not enough. Thus a natural development is putting more integrators on some terminals. Precisely, let

$$G^s \subset G^{s-1} \dots \subset G^1 \subset G$$

be a sequence of nested subdistributions of G . t -fold integrators are attached to each terminal of G^t . Hence there exists a nonsingular matrix $\beta^t(x) = (p^t(x), q^t(x))$, with $p^t(x)$ and $q^t(x)$ as $n_t \times n_{t+1}$ and $n_t \times (n_t - n_{t+1})$ matrices respectively, such that $G^t = G^{t-1} p^t(x)$, $t=1, \dots, s$, where $G^0 = G$. When s integrators are attached to G^s , the extended system is called s -fold extension. If the s -fold extension of Σ is static state feedback linearizable, we say that the original system is s -fold dynamic state feedback linearizable.

To facilitate the presentation of our result, we define a sequence of distributions by using a given nested sequence of subdistributions $H_1 \subset H_2 \dots \subset H_s \subset G$ of G as follows:

$$\begin{cases} D_0 := H_1, \\ D_k := H_{k+1} + L_j D_{k-1}, \quad k=1, 2, \dots, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where $H_t := G$ for $t > s$.

Considering the linearization around 0, we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 3. System (1) is s -fold linearizable around 0, if and only if there exists

a sequence of nested subdistributions $H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \dots \subset H_s \subset G$ of G , such that the corresponding distributions D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{n-1} constructed by (10) satisfy the following conditions:

- i) $D_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n + s - m$, are nonsingular and involutive;
- ii) $\dim(D_{n+s-m}) = n$;
- iii) $[H_{i-1}, G] \subset D_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n + s - m$.

Proof. Necessity: We first construct $H_i, i = 1, \dots, s$, inductively as

$$H_1 = \text{Sp}\{gq^1\},$$

$$H_i = H_{i-1} + \text{Sp}\{gp^i \dots p^{i-1}q^i\}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, s.$$

It will be shown that such $H_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, are as required.

Now the extended system can be described as

$$\Sigma^c: \begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) + \bar{g}^1(x)z^1 + g^1(x)v^1, \\ \dot{z}^1 = p^2(x)z^2 + q^2(x)v^2, \\ \dots \\ \dot{z}^{s-1} = p^s(x)z^s + q^s(x)v^s, \\ \dot{z}^s = v^{s+1}, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $(\bar{g}^1(x), g^1(x)) = g(p^1(x), q^1(x))$.

Without loss of generality we can assume that $p^i(x)$ and $q^i(x), i = 2, \dots, s$, are constant, because it is fairly easy to construct a local diffeomorphism as follows. Let $\bar{z}^s = z^s$ and assume $\bar{z}^s, \bar{z}^{s-1}, \dots, \bar{z}^j$ are well defined and $\bar{z}^j = A^j(x)z^j$. Then we set

$$\bar{z}^{j-1} = (p^j(x)A^j(x), q^j(x))^{-1}z^{j-1}, \quad j = s, s-1, \dots, 2,$$

and let $\bar{x} = x$. That under this new coordinate frame system (11) has constant p^i and q^i follows quickly.

Notice that system (11) is static state feedback linearizable, if and only if the corresponding $\Delta_i^c, i = 0, 1, \dots$, satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. Since $\Delta_0^c = \text{Sp}\{g^c\}$, Δ_0^c is nonsingular and involutive, if and only if so is D_0 . A simple computation shows that

$$\Delta_1^c = \Delta_0^c + \text{Sp}\{((L_f g_j^1 + [\bar{g}^1, g_j^1]z^1)^T, 0, \dots, 0)^T, j = 1, \dots, m - n_1; \\ ((\bar{g}^1 q^2)^T, 0, \dots, 0)^T; (0, (p^2 q^3, q^2)^T, 0, \dots, 0)^T; \dots; (0, \dots, \\ (p^{s-1} q^s, q^{s-1})^T, 0, 0)^T; (0, \dots, I_{s-1}, 0)^T; (0, \dots, I_s)^T\}, \quad (12)$$

where I_{s-1} and I_s are identity matrices with dimensions $n_{s-2} - n_{s-1}$ and $n_{s-1} - n_s$ respectively.

For the nonsingularity of Δ_1^c (around 0), we should have

$$\text{Sp}\{[\bar{g}^1, g^1]\} \subset \text{Sp}\{L_f g^1, g^1, g^{-1}q^2\}.$$

Thus in (12) $L_f g_j^1 + [\bar{g}^1, g_j^1]z^1$ can be replaced by $L_f g_j^1$. Hence the nonsingularity and involutivity of Δ_1^c and those of D_1 are equivalent.

Now since $g^1 \in D_1$ and D_1 is involutive, $[\bar{g}^1, g^1] \in D_1$ if and only if $[G, H_1] \subset D_1$.

Continuing this procedure, one can prove i) and iii) inductively.

It can also be proved by induction that $(0, \dots, 0, I_{n_s - i - 1 - n_{s-i}}, 0, \dots, 0)^T \in \Delta_i^c$.

It is also true that $(G^T, 0, \dots, 0)^T \in \Delta_s^c$.

Hence Δ_{n+s-m}^c must span the whole tangent bundle of $U \subset M \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_s}$. That yields ii).

Sufficiency: When conditions i), ii) and iii) are satisfied, it is ready to prove that system (11) is static state feedback linearizable. \square

Using Taylor series expansion of coefficients, one may show

Corollary 1. If $s = 2$, and system (1) is analytic, Theorem 3 holds locally everywhere.

Finally, we give an example which shows that some systems need multi-fold extension for their linearization.

Example 2. Consider the following system

$$\Sigma: \dot{x} = f(x) + g_1(x)u_1 + g_2(x)u_2 + g_3(x)u_3,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^6$, $f(x) = (0, 0, x_6^2 + x_1, 0, x, \sin x_3)^T$, $g_1 = (0, x_1, 0, x_2 + 1, 0, x_4^2 - x_2)^T$, $g_2 = (1, \cos x_5, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T$, $g_3 = (x_3^2 + 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T$.

Since $[g_1, g_2]$ is not in $\text{Sp}\{g_1, g_2, g_3, L_f g_1, L_f g_2, L_f g_3\}$, the system is neither static state feedback linearizable no one-fold linearizable. But if we choose $H_1 = \text{Sp}\{g_3\}$, $H_2 = \text{Sp}\{g_2, g_3\}$ and $H_3 = G$, the extended system follows as

$$\Sigma^e: \begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) + g_1(x)z_1 + g_2(x)z_2 + g_3(x)v_1, \\ \dot{z}_1 = z_3, \quad \dot{z}_2 = v_2, \quad \dot{z}_3 = v_3. \end{cases}$$

It is readily verified that Σ^e satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1, so it is static state feedback linearizable.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jakubczyk, B. and Respondek, W., On linearization of control systems, *Bull. Acad. Polonaise, Sci. Ser. Sci. Math.*, 28 (1980).
- [2] Su, R., On the linear equivalents of nonlinear systems, *Sys. Contr. Lett.*, 2(1982).
- [3] Hunt, L. R., Su, R. and Meyer, G., Multi-input nonlinear systems, *Diff. Geometric Contr. Theory Conf.* Birkhauser Boston, Cambridge, Mass, 1982.
- [4] Isidori, A., Nonlinear control systems: An introduction, *Lecture Notes in Contr. Inform. Sci.* 71, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [5] Cheng, D., Tarn, T. J. and Isidori, A., Global external linearization of nonlinear systems via feedback, *IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr.*, AC-30: 8(1985).
- [6] Descusse, J., Moog, C. H., Decoupling with dynamic compensation for strong invertible affine nonlinear systems (to appear), *IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr.*
- [7] Isidori, A., The matching of a prescribed linear input-output behavior in a nonlinear systems, *IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr.*, AC-30: 3(1985), 258-265.

动态补偿线性化

程代展

(中国科学院系统科学研究所)

摘要

本文讨论仿射非线性系统带有动态补偿的线性化问题。我们对单重及多重动态补偿, 分别给出动态反馈线性化的充要条件。这里给出的结果可以看作对原有的状态反馈线性化结果的一个推广。