

Optimal Birth Control of Population Dynamics. II. Problems with Free Final Time, Phase Constraints, and Mini-Max Costs*

W. L. CHAN AND BAO ZHU GUO†

*Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong,
Shatin, N. T., Hong Kong*

Submitted by E. Stanley Lee

Received August 15, 1988

We study optimal birth control of population systems of McKendrick type which is a distributed parameter system involving first order partial differential equations with nonlocal bilinear boundary control. New results on problems with free final time, phase constraints, and mini-max costs are presented. © 1990 Academic Press, Inc.

In [1] we discussed optimal birth control of population systems of McKendrick type. The present article (which is a direct continuation of [1]) presents further new results of current interests. These include problems with free final time, of which the minimum time problem is a special case (but relaxing many convexity assumptions). Systems with phase constraints are also studied. Finally, mini-max control for population regulation is characterized. It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the terminology and notation of [1].

5. FREE FINAL TIME PROBLEM

Consider the free final time optimal control problem of the population control system

$$\text{Problem (P): Minimize } J(\beta, p) = \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{r_m} L(p(r, t), \beta(t)) dr dt$$

* This work was carried out with the aid of a grant from the International Development Centre, Ottawa, Canada.

† Permanent address: Beijing Institute of Information and Control, Beijing, China.

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p(r, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial p(r, t)}{\partial r} &= -\mu(r) p(r, t), & 0 < r < r_m, \quad t > 0, \\ p(r, 0) &= p_0(r), & 0 \leq r \leq r_m, \\ p(0, t) &= \beta(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, t) dr, & t \geq 0, \\ p(r, t_1) &= p^0(r), & t_1 > 0, \beta(t) \in M \subset \mathbb{R}^+, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where L is a function defined on $L^2(0, r_m) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying

- (1) $L(p(r), \beta)$ is continuous in β ,
- (2) $|\partial L(p(r), \beta)/\partial p|$ is bounded for every bounded subset of $L^2(0, r_m) \times \mathbb{R}^+$.

For any measurable function $v(s) \geq 0$, define the time transformation

$$t(\tau) = \int_0^\tau v(s) ds, \quad t(1) = t_1 \quad (2)$$

and let $p(r, \tau) = p(r, t(\tau))$,

$$\beta(\tau) = \begin{cases} \beta(t(\tau)), & \tau \in S_1, \\ \text{arbitrary}, & \tau \in S_2, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

then $(p(r, \tau), \beta(\tau))$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p(r, \tau)}{\partial \tau} + v(\tau) \frac{\partial p(r, \tau)}{\partial r} &= -\mu(r) v(\tau) p(r, \tau), & 0 < r < r_m, \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \\ p(r, 0) &= p_0(r), & 0 \leq r \leq r_m, \\ v(\tau) p(0, \tau) &= v(\tau) \beta(\tau) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, \tau) dr, & 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \\ p(r, 1) &= p^0(r), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{\tau \mid \tau \in [0, 1], v(\tau) > 0\}, \\ S_2 &= \{\tau \mid \tau \in [0, 1], v(\tau) = 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Conversely, if $(p(r, \tau), \beta(\tau))$ solves Eq. (4), define $p(r, t) = p(r, \tau(t))$, $\beta(t) = \beta(\tau(t))$,

$$\tau(t) = \inf\{\tau \mid t(\tau) = t\} \quad (6)$$

then $(p(r, t), \beta(t))$ satisfies Eq. (1) for $t = t(\tau)$, $v(\tau) > 0$, but for the monotone function $t(\tau) = \int_0^\tau v(s) ds$, $mes\{t = t(\tau) \mid v(\tau) > 0\} = t_1 = \int_0^1 v(s) ds$, so $(p(r, t), \beta(t))$ satisfies Eq. (1) for $t \in [0, t_1]$ a.e.

Based on the above arguments, we consider the optimal (fixed final time) problem

Problem (Q): Minimize $J(\beta, p) = \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{r_m} L(p(r, t), \beta(t)) dr dt$ subject to Eq. (4).

If (p^*, β^*, t_1) solves Problem (P), then for any $v^*(\tau) \geq 0$ satisfying $\int_0^1 v^*(s) ds = t_1$, $\beta^*(\tau)$ defined similar to (3), $(p^*(r, \tau), \beta^*(\tau), v^*)$ solves Problem (Q). By this $\beta(\tau)$, we put forward another problem as

Problem (L): Minimize $J(\beta^*, p, v) = \int_0^1 \int_0^{r_m} v(\tau) L(p(r, \tau), \beta^*(\tau)) dr dt$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p(r, \tau)}{\partial \tau} + v(\tau) \frac{\partial p(r, \tau)}{\partial r} &= -\mu(r) v(\tau) p(r, \tau), & 0 < r < r_m, 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \\ p(r, 0) &= p_0(r), & 0 \leq r \leq r_m, \\ v(\tau) p(0, \tau) &= v(\tau) \beta^*(\tau) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, \tau) dr, & 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \\ p(r, 1) &= p^0(r), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and (p^*, v^*) solves Problem (L). Consider the solution of (7) as that of the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r p(s, \tau) ds - \int_0^r p_0(s) ds + \int_0^\tau v(\xi) \left[p(r, \xi) - \beta^*(\xi) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, \xi) dr \right] d\xi \\ + \int_0^r \int_0^\tau v(\xi) \mu(s) p(s, \xi) ds d\xi \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$p(r, 1) = p^0(r). \tag{9}$$

Similarly, we consider that the solution of the differential equation is equivalent to that of the corresponding integral equation.

Simple arguments can be found that the Eq. (8) has a unique solution on $C(0, 1; L^2(0, r_m))$ and so we take $X = C(0, 1; L^2(0, r_m)) \times L^\infty(0, 1)$ as the state space. Define the inequality constraint

$$\Omega_1 = \{(p(r, \tau), v(\tau)) \in X \mid v(\tau) \geq 0, \text{ for } \tau \in [0, 1] \text{ a.e.}\} \tag{10}$$

and the equality constraint

$$\Omega_2 = \{ (p(r, \tau), v(\tau)) \in X \mid (p, v) \text{ satisfies (8) and (9)} \}. \tag{11}$$

Under these notations, we can write problem (L) as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize } J(\beta^*, p, v) &= \int_0^1 \int_0^{r_m} v(\tau) L(p(r, \tau), \beta^*(\tau)) \, dr \, d\tau \\ \text{subject to } (p(r, \tau), v(\tau)) &\in \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 \subset X. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$J(\beta^*, p, v)$ is Fréchet differentiable at any point (\hat{p}, \hat{v}) and

$$\begin{aligned} J'(\beta^*, p_0, v_0)(p, \beta) &= \int_0^1 \int_0^{r_m} \left[v_0(\tau) \frac{\partial L(p_0(r, \tau), \beta^*(\tau))}{\partial p} p(r, \tau) + v(\tau) L(p_0, \beta^*) \right] \, dr \, d\tau \tag{13} \end{aligned}$$

and so the decreasing direction cone of $J'(\beta^*, p_0, v_0)$ at (p^*, v^*) is

$$K_0 = \{ (p, v) \mid J'(\beta^*, p^*, v^*)(p, v) < 0 \}. \tag{14}$$

If $K_0 \neq \emptyset$, then for any $f_0 \in K_0^*$, there exists a constant $\lambda_0 \geq 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(p, v) &= -\lambda_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^{r_m} v^*(\tau) \left[\frac{\partial L(p^*(r, \tau), \beta^*(\tau))}{\partial p} p(r, \tau) + L(p^*, \beta^*) v(\tau) \right] \, dr \, d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Notice that $\Omega_1 = C(0, 1; L^2(0, r_m)) \times \hat{\Omega}_1$, $\hat{\Omega}_1 = \{ v(\tau) \in L^\infty(0, 1) \mid v(\tau) \geq 0 \}$ is a closed convex subset of $L^\infty(0, 1)$, $\hat{\Omega}_1 = C \times \hat{\Omega}_1 \neq \emptyset$, and so the feasible direction cone of Ω_1 at (p^*, v^*) is

$$K_1 = \{ \lambda(\hat{\Omega}_1 - (p^*, v^*)) \mid \lambda > 0 \} \tag{16}$$

for any $f_1 \in K_1^*$, if $c(t) \in L(0, 1)$ such that

$$f_1(p, v) = \int_0^1 c(\tau) v(\tau) \, d\tau \tag{17}$$

then [2]

$$c(\tau)[v - v^*(\tau)] \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in (0, \infty), \tau \in [0, 1] \text{ a.e.} \tag{18}$$

In order to determine the tangent direction cone of Ω_2 at (p^*, v^*) , we define the operator as $G: X \rightarrow X$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(p, v) = & \left[\int_0^r p(s, \tau) ds - \int_0^r p_0(s) ds + \int_0^\tau v(\xi) \right. \\
 & \times \left[p(r, \xi) - \beta^*(\xi) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, \xi) dr \right] d\xi \\
 & \left. + \int_0^r \int_0^\tau v(\xi) \mu(s) p(s, \xi) ds d\xi, p(r, 1) - p^0(r) \right] \quad (19)
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\Omega_2 = \{ (p, v) \mid G(p, v) = 0 \}. \quad (20)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 G'(p^*, v^*)(p, v) & = \left[\int_0^r p(s, \tau) ds + \int_0^\tau \left[v(\xi) p^*(r, \xi) + v^*(\xi) p(r, \xi) \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \beta^*(\xi) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) [v(\xi) p^*(r, \xi) + v^*(\xi) p(r, \xi)] dr \right] d\xi \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_0^r \int_0^\tau \mu(s) [v(\xi) p^*(s, \xi) + v^*(\xi) p(s, \xi)] ds d\xi, p(r, 1) \right] \quad (21)
 \end{aligned}$$

and we solve the equation

$$G'(p^*, v^*)(p, v) = (q, g) \in X;$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^r p(s, \tau) ds + \int_0^\tau \left[[v(\xi) p^*(r, \xi) + v^*(\xi) p(r, \xi)] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \beta^*(\xi) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) [v(\xi) p^*(r, \xi) + v^*(\xi) p(r, \xi)] dr \right] d\xi \\
 & \quad + \int_0^r \int_0^\tau \mu(s) [v(\xi) p^*(s, \xi) + v^*(\xi) p(s, \xi)] ds d\xi = q(r, \tau), \\
 & p(r, 1) = g(r). \quad (22)
 \end{aligned}$$

If the linearized system

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial p(r, \tau)}{\partial \tau} + v^*(\tau) \frac{\partial p(r, \tau)}{\partial r} \\ &= -\mu(r)[v(\tau) p^*(r, \tau) + v^*(\tau) p(r, \tau)] - v(\tau) \frac{\partial p^*(r, \tau)}{\partial r}, \\ p(r, 0) &= 0, \\ v(\tau) p^*(0, \tau) + v^*(\tau) p(0, \tau) \\ &= v(\tau) \beta^*(\tau) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, \tau) dr \\ &+ v^*(\tau) \beta^*(\tau) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, \tau) dr \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

is controllable, then let $\hat{p}(r, \tau) = p(r, \tau) + d(r, \tau)$, $d(r, \tau)$ be determined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^r d(s, \tau) ds + \int_0^\tau v^*(\xi) \left[d(r, \xi) - \beta^*(\xi) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) d(r, \xi) dr \right] d\xi \\ &+ \int_0^r \int_0^\tau v^*(\xi) \mu(s) d(s, \xi) ds d\xi = q(r, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

(p, β) , $\beta = \hat{\beta}$ solves Eq. (23) and $p(r, 1) = g(r) - d(r, 1)$, so $(\hat{p}, \hat{\beta})$ solves Eq. (22). In this case, the tangent direction cone of Ω_2 at (p^*, v^*) is determined by

$$K_2 = \{(p, v) \mid G'(p^*, v^*)(p, v) = 0\},$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial p(r, \tau)}{\partial \tau} + v^*(\tau) \frac{\partial p(r, \tau)}{\partial r} \\ &= -\mu(r)[v(\tau) p^*(r, \tau) + v^*(\tau) p(r, \tau)] - v(\tau) \frac{\partial p^*(r, \tau)}{\partial r}, \\ p(r, 0) &= 0, \\ v(\tau) p^*(0, \tau) + v^*(\tau) p(0, \tau) &= v(\tau) \beta^*(\tau) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, \tau) dr + v^*(\tau) \beta^*(\tau) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, \tau) dr \\ p(r, 1) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$K_2 = K_{11} \cap K_{12}$, $K_{12} = \{(p, v) | p(r, 1) = 0\}$, K_{11} consists of such $(p, v) \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial p(r, \tau)}{\partial \tau} + v^*(\tau) \frac{\partial p(r, \tau)}{\partial r} \\ &= -\mu(r)[v(\tau) p^*(r, \tau) + v^*(\tau) p(r, \tau)] - v(\tau) \frac{\partial p^*(r, \tau)}{\partial r}, \\ & p(r, 0) = 0, \\ & v(\tau) p^*(0, \tau) + v^*(\tau) p(0, \tau) \\ &= v(\tau) \beta^*(\tau) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, \tau) dr \\ &+ v^*(\tau) \beta^*(\tau) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, \tau) dr. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

For any $f \in K_2^*$, $f = f_{11} + f_{12}$, $f_{1i} \in K_{1i}^*$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$f_{12}(p, v) = \int_0^{r_m} \alpha(r) p(r, 1) dr, \quad \alpha(r) \in L^2(0, 1). \tag{26}$$

By the Dubovitskii–Milyutin Theorem, there exist functionals $f_i \in K_i^*$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, not all identically zero such that

$$f_0 + f_1 + f_{11} + f_{12} = 0. \tag{27}$$

In particular for any (p, v) satisfying (25), $f_{11}(p, v) = 0$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(p, v) &= -f_0(p, v) - f_{12}(p, v) \\ &= \lambda_0 \int_0^1 \int_m^r \left[\frac{\partial L(p^*(r, \tau), \beta^*(\tau))}{\partial p} v^*(\tau) p(r, \tau) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + L(p^*, \beta^*) v(\tau) \right] dr d\tau - \int_0^{r_m} \alpha(r) p(r, 1) dr, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where the solution of (25) is considered as that of the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^r p(s, \tau) ds + \int_0^\tau \left[[v(\xi) p^*(r, \xi) + v^*(\xi) p(r, \xi)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \beta^*(\xi) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) [v(\xi) p^*(r, \xi) + v^*(\xi) p(r, \xi)] dr \right] d\xi \\ & + \int_0^r \int_0^\tau \mu(s) [v(\xi) p^*(s, \xi) + v^*(\xi) p(s, \xi)] ds d\xi = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Define the adjoint equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial q(r, \tau)}{\partial r} + v^*(\tau) \frac{\partial q(r, \tau)}{\partial \tau} \\ & = v^*(\tau) \mu(r) q(r, \tau) - \beta^*(\tau) k(r) h(r) q(\tau) + \lambda_0 \frac{\partial L(p^*, \beta^*)}{\partial p} \\ & q(r, 1) = \alpha(r) \\ & v^*(\tau) q(0, \tau) = v^*(\tau) q(\tau) \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

and

$$\int_r^{r_m} \hat{q}(s, \tau) ds = q(r, \tau). \tag{31}$$

As in [1], we have

LEMMA 1. *The solution of Eq. (25) and the solution of Eqs. (30), (31) have the relation*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^{r_m} \left[\frac{\partial L(p^*(r, \tau), \beta^*(\tau))}{\partial p} v^*(\tau) p(r, \tau) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + L(p^*, \beta^*) v(\tau) \right] dr d\tau - \int_0^{r_m} \alpha(r) p(r, 1) dr \\ & = \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, \tau) \hat{q}(r, \tau) + \beta^*(\tau) \int_r^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, \tau) q(\tau) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, \tau) q(r, \tau) dr \right] v(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Lemma 1 together with (28) and (18) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, \tau) \hat{q}(r, \tau) dr d\tau + \beta^*(\tau) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, \tau) q(\tau) dr d\tau \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, \tau) q(r, \tau) dr + \lambda_0 \int_0^{r_m} L(p^*, \beta^*) dr \right] [v - v^*(\tau)] \geq 0 \\ & \text{for all } v \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

It follows from (33) that

$$\int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, \tau) \hat{q}(r, \tau) + \beta^*(\tau) \int_r^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, \tau) q(\tau) dr + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, \tau) q(r, \tau) dr + \lambda_0 \int_0^{r_m} L(p^*, \beta^*) dr = 0, \quad \forall \tau \in S_1, \quad (34)$$

$$\int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, \tau) \hat{q}(r, \tau) + \beta^*(\tau) \int_r^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, \tau) q(\tau) dr + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, \tau) q(r, \tau) dr + \lambda_0 \int_0^{r_m} L(p^*, \beta^*) dr \geq 0, \quad \forall \tau \in S_2. \quad (35)$$

We say that λ_0 and $\alpha(r)$ cannot be both zero, since otherwise, $f_0 = 0, q(s, \tau) = 0, f_{12} = 0, f_1 = 0$ and hence $f_{11} = 0$. This contradicts the Dubovitskii–Milyutin Theorem. Furthermore, if $K_0 = \emptyset$, take $\lambda_0 = 1, \alpha(r) = 0$, then (32) implies (33) and hence (34) and (35) are valid. Finally, if Eq. (30) has a nonzero solution $q(r, \tau)$ such that

$$\int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, \tau) \hat{q}(r, \tau) + \beta^*(\tau) \int_r^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, \tau) q(\tau) dr + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, \tau) q(r, \tau) dr = 0, \quad (36)$$

then take $\lambda_0 = 0$, and (33) is also valid. On the other hand, for any nonzero solution of (30)

$$\int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, \tau) \hat{q}(r, \tau) + \beta^*(\tau) \int_r^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, \tau) q(\tau) dr + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, \tau) q(r, \tau) dr \neq 0. \quad (37)$$

We call this situation the nondegenerate case, since here the linearized system must be controllable. This is because otherwise there exists a $\alpha(r) \in L^2(0, r_m)$ such that $\int_0^{r_m} \alpha(r) p(r, 1) dr = 0, \alpha(r) \neq 0$, and taking $\lambda_0 = 0$, we have a contradiction to (36). Hence, no matter what happened, (33) and (35) are always valid.

Define $q(r, t) = q(r, \tau(t)), \hat{q}(r, t) = \hat{q}(r, \tau(t)), q(t) = q(0, \tau(t))$, then (34) can be written as

$$\int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, t) \hat{q}(r, t) + \beta^*(t) \int_r^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, t) q(t) dr + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, t) q(r, t) dr + \lambda_0 \int_0^{r_m} L(p^*, \beta^*) dr = 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, t_1] \text{ a.e.} \quad (38)$$

Choose S_1 to be a perfect nowhere dense subset of $[0, 1]$ (see [2]) and define

$$v^*(\tau) = \begin{cases} t_1/\mu(S_1), & \tau \in S_1 \\ 0, & \tau \in S_2 = [0, 1] \setminus S_1. \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

Now, analysing the condition (35) as in [2], we can define $\beta^*(\tau)$ on S_2 and get (with the same notation as before)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, t) \hat{q}(r, t) + \beta \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, t) q(t) dr \\ & + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, t) q(r, t) dr + \lambda_0 \int_0^{r_m} L(p^*, \beta) dr \geq 0, \quad \forall \beta \in M, \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, t_1]$. We have thus proved the following

THEOREM 1 (Maximum Principle). *Under the conditions on L mentioned in the beginning of this paper, and letting (β^*, p^*, t_1) solve Problem (P), then there exist $q(r, t)$, $\lambda_0 \geq 0$, not both zero, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, t) \hat{q}(r, t) + \beta^*(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, t) q(t) dr \\ & + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, t) q(r, t) dr + \lambda_0 \int_0^{r_m} L(p^*, \beta^*) dr = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, t_1] \text{ a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, t) \hat{q}(r, t) + \beta \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, t) q(t) dr \\ & + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, t) q(r, t) dr + \lambda_0 \int_0^{r_m} L(p^*, \beta) dr \geq 0, \\ & \forall \beta \in M. \quad t \in [0, t_1] \text{ a.e.,} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial q(r, t)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial q(r, t)}{\partial t} = \mu(r) q(r, t) - \beta^*(t) k(r) h(r) q(t) + \lambda_0 \frac{\partial L(p^*, \beta^*)}{\partial p},$$

$$q(r, t_1) = \alpha(r),$$

$$q(0, t) = q(t),$$

$$q(r, t) = \int_r^{r_m} \hat{q}(s, t) ds. \quad (41)$$

Note. If the end point condition $p(r, t_1) = p^0(r)$ is imposed instead of

$$p(r, t_1) \in \{ p(r) \mid \| p(r) - p^0(r) \| \leq \varepsilon \} \tag{42}$$

then $\alpha(r)$ should be taken as $\alpha(r) = p^*(r, t_1) - p^0(r)$ and λ_0 can be set to 1.

COROLLARY 1. If $L = 1$, then problem (P) is the time optimal control problem considered in [1] and the time optimal control satisfies the maximum principle

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^*(t) H(t) &= \max_{\beta \in M} \beta H(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, t_1] \text{ a.e.} \\ H(t) &= q(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, t) dr, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where t_1 is the minimum time. $q(t)$ is the solution of adjoint equation (41).

The result is the same as that of [1] but there the convexity assumption on M is not assumed.

6. SYSTEM WITH PHASE CONSTRAINTS

In this part, we consider the optimal control problem of a population system with phase constraints

$$\text{Problem (Q): Minimize } \hat{J}(\beta, p) = \int_0^T \int_0^{r_m} Q(p(r, t), \beta(t), t) dr dt \tag{44}$$

under the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p(r, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial p(r, t)}{\partial r} &= -\mu(r) p(r, t), \quad 0 < r < r_m, \quad t > 0, \\ p(r, 0) &= p_0(r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq r_m, \\ p(r, T) &= p^0(r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq r_m, \\ p(0, t) &= \beta(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, t) dr, \quad t \geq 0, \\ \beta(t) &\in [\beta_0, \beta_1] \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T] \text{ a.e.} \\ \int_0^{r_m} G(p(r, t), t) dr &\leq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

in the class of

$$(p(r, t), \beta(t)) \in X = C(0, T; L^2(0, r_m)) \times L^\infty(0, T). \tag{46}$$

The time T is fixed.

Define

$$Q_1 = \{(p(r, t), \beta(t)) \in X \mid \beta(t) \in [\beta_0, \beta_1], t \in [0, T] \text{ a.e.}\} \tag{47}$$

$$Q_2 = \left\{ (p(r, t), \beta(t)) \in X \mid p_t = -\mu p, \right. \\ \left. p(0, t) = \beta(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, t) dr, p(r, 0) = p_0(r), p(r, T) = p^0(r) \right\} \tag{48}$$

$$Q_3 = \left\{ (p(r, t), \beta(t)) \in X \mid \int_0^{r_m} G(p(r, t), t) dr \leq 0 \right\}. \tag{49}$$

Then Problem (Q) is equivalent to finding $(p^*, \beta^*) \in Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3$ such that

$$\hat{J}(\beta^*, p^*) = \min_{(p, \beta) \in Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3} \hat{J}(\beta, p). \tag{50}$$

This is a minimum problem formed by the inequality constraints Q_1, Q_3 and the equality Q_2 . We can use again the general theory of Dubovitskii–Milyutin for the extremum problem.

We had already investigated the corresponding cones of Q_1 and Q_2 of the Dubovitskii–Milyutin Theorem. Now we need only to consider constraint Q_3 . Notice that Q_3 can be written as

$$Q_3 = \{(p(r, t), \beta(t)) \in X \mid F(p) \leq 0\}, \tag{51}$$

where $F(p) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^{r_m} G(p(r, t), t) dr$ and assume

- (1) $\int_0^{r_m} G(p(r), t) dr$ is a continuous functional on $L^2(0, r_m) \times [0, \infty]$;
- (2) $\int_0^{r_m} G(p_0(r), 0) dr < 0, \int_0^{r_m} G(p^0(r), T) dr < 0$;
- (3) $\int_0^{r_m} G'_p(p(r), t) dr$ is also continuous on $L^2(0, r_m) \times [0, \infty)$ and $\int_0^{r_m} G'_p(p(r), t) dr \neq 0$ if $\int_0^{r_m} G(p(r), t) dr = 0$.

Let (β^*, p^*) solve Problem (Q), then we consider $F(p^*) = 0$, since otherwise, the feasible direction cone K_3 of Q_3 at (β^*, p^*) is the whole space, i.e., $K_3 = X$. So $Q_3 = \{(p(r, t), \beta(t)) \in X \mid F(p) \leq F(p^*)\}$. Applying arguments as in [2] we can prove that

LEMMA 2. $F(p)$ is differentiable at any point in any direction and

$$F'(\hat{p}, p) = \max_{t \in S} \int_0^{r_m} G'_p(\hat{p}(r, t), t) p(r, t) dr, \tag{52}$$

where $S = \{t \in [0, T] \mid \int_0^{r_m} (\hat{p}(r, t), t) dr = F(\hat{p})\}$. Furthermore $F(p)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition in any ball.

Notice that $F'(p^*, G'_p(p^*, t)) < 0$, we know that [2]

$$K_3 = \{(p, \beta) \in X \mid F'(p^*, p) < 0\}. \tag{53}$$

Define the linear operator $A: X \rightarrow C[0, T]$ by

$$Ap(r, t) = - \int_0^{r_m} G'_p(p^*(r, t), t) p(r, t) dr \tag{54}$$

and

$$K = \{y(t) \in C[0, T] \mid y(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in S\}$$

then $K_3 = \{p(r, t) \in X \mid Ap \in K\}$. Since $A(-G'_p(p^*(r, t), t)) \in \overset{\circ}{K}$, so $K_3^* = A^*K^*$; i.e., for any $f \in K_3^*$, there exists a measure $dm(t)$, nonnegative and with support on S , such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(p(r, t)) &= \int_0^T Ap(r, t) dm(t) = \int_S Ap(r, t) dm(t) \\ &= - \int_S \int_0^{r_m} G'_p(p^*(r, t), t) p(r, t) dr dm(t). \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Based on the previous results, there exist $\lambda_0 \geq 0, \alpha(r) \in L^2(0, r_m)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(p, \beta) &= \lambda_0 \int_0^T \int_0^{r_m} \left[\frac{\partial Q(p^*, \beta^*, t)}{\partial p} p(r, t) + \frac{\partial Q(p^*, \beta^*, t)}{\partial \beta} \beta(t) \right] dr dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^{r_m} p(r, T) \alpha(r) dr + \int_0^T \int_0^{r_m} G'_p(p^*(r, t), t) p(t, t) dr dm(t), \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where (p, β) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p(r, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial p(r, t)}{\partial r} &= -\mu(r) p(r, t), \\ p(r, 0) &= 0, \\ p(0, t) &= \beta^*(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, t) dr \\ &\quad + \beta(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, t) dr, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

with the assumption that the decreasing direction cone of J at (p^*, β^*) is not empty and system (57) is controllable.

Define the adjoint system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial q(r, t)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial q(r, t)}{\partial t} &= \mu(r) q(r, t) - \beta^*(t) k(r) h(r) q(t) \\ &\quad + \lambda_0 \frac{\partial Q(p^*, \beta^*, t)}{\partial p} + G'_p(p^*(r, t), t) \frac{dm(t)}{dt} \\ q(r, T) &= \alpha(r), \\ q(0, t) &= q(t). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

The solution of Eq. (58) should be considered as that of the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^r q(s, t) ds \\ &= - \int_0^r \alpha(s) ds - \int_0^r \int_t^T [q(s, \tau) - q(\tau)] ds d\tau + \int_0^r \int_t^T \mu(s) q(s, \tau) ds d\tau \\ &= - \int_0^r k(s) h(s) ds \int_t^T \beta^*(\tau) q(\tau) d\tau + \lambda_0 \int_0^r \int_t^T \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} ds d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^{r_m} \int_t^T G'_p(p^*(s, \tau), \tau) dm(\tau) dr. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

As before, we have

LEMMA 3. *The solution of Eq. (57) and the adjoint equation has the relation*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_0 \int_0^T \int_0^{r_m} \left[\frac{\partial Q(p^*, \beta^*, t)}{\partial p} p(r, t) + \frac{\partial Q(p^*, \beta^*, t)}{\partial \beta} \beta(t) \right] dr dt \\ & - \int_0^{r_m} p(r, T) \alpha(r) dr + \int_0^T \int_0^{r_m} G'_p(p^*(r, t), t) p(r, t) dr dt \\ & = \int_0^T \left[\int_0^{r_m} \left[\lambda_0 \frac{\partial Q(p^*, \beta^*, t)}{\partial \beta} - q(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, t) dr \right] \beta(t) dt \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Same reason as before, whether or not the decreasing direction cone of J at (p^*, β^*) is empty and the system (57) is controllable, we always have

THEOREM 2 (Maximum Principle). *Let (p^*, β^*) solve Problem (Q), then there exist $\lambda_0 \geq 0, q(t)$ not both zero, such that*

$$\int_0^{r_m} \left[\lambda_0 \frac{\partial Q(p^*, \beta^*, t)}{\partial \beta} - q(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, t) dr \right] [\beta - \beta^*(t)] \geq 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \text{ a.e.} \tag{61}$$

We can also consider the free final time problem with phase constraints

Problem (W): Minimize $\bar{J}(\beta, p) = \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{r_m} W(p(r, t), \beta(t), t) dr dt$

under the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial p(r, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial p(r, t)}{\partial r} = -\mu(r) p(r, t), \quad 0 < r < r_m, t > 0, \\ & p(r, 0) = p_0(r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq r_m, \\ & p(r, t_1) = p^0(r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq r_m, \\ & p(0, t) = \beta(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, t) dr, \quad t \geq 0, \\ & \beta(t) \in M, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, t_1] \text{ a.e.} \\ & \int_0^{r_m} G(p(r, t), t) dr \leq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

in the class of

$$(p(r, t), \beta(t)) \in X = C(0, t_1; L^2(0, r_m)) \times L^\infty(0, t_1). \tag{63}$$

The time t_1 is free.

Following the same lines of reasoning in Section 5, we can prove

THEOREM 3. Let (p^*, β^*, t_1) solve Problem (W), then there exist λ_0 , $\alpha(r) \in L^2(0, r_m)$ with support on $S = \{t \in [0, T] \mid \int_0^{r_m} G(\hat{p}(r, t), t) dr = F(\hat{p})\}$ and a nonnegative measure $dm(t)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, t) \hat{q}(r, t) + \beta^*(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, t) q(t) dr \\ + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, t) q(r, t) dr + \lambda_0 \int_0^{r_m} W(p^*, \beta^*) dr = 0, \end{aligned} \quad \forall t \in [0, t_1] \text{ a.e.} \quad (64)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, t) \hat{q}(r, t) + \beta \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, t) q(t) dr \\ + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, t) q(r, t) dr + \lambda_0 \int_0^{r_m} W(p^*, \beta) dr \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad \forall t \in [0, t_1] \text{ a.e.,} \quad (65)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial q(r, t)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial q(r, t)}{\partial t} = \mu(r) q(r, t) - \beta^*(t) k(r) h(r) q(t) \\ + \lambda_0 \frac{\partial W(p^*, \beta^*)}{\partial p}, G'_p(p^*(r, t), t) \frac{dm(t)}{dt} \\ q(r, t_1) = \alpha(r), \\ q(0, t) = q(t) \\ q(r, t) = \int_{r^m}^r \hat{q}(s, t) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

7. MINI-MAX PROBLEMS

The mini-max control problem of a population control system can be stated as

$$\text{Problem (Y): Minimize } F(p) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \int_0^{r_m} G(p(r, t), t) dr dt \quad (67)$$

with respect to $(p(r, t), \beta(t)) \in X$ and t_1 under the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p(r, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial p(r, t)}{\partial r} = -\mu(r) p(r, t), \quad 0 < r < r_m, t > 0, \\ p(r, 0) = p_0(r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq r_m, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(r, t_1) &= p^0(r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq r_m, \\
 p(0, t) &= \beta(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p(r, t) dr, \quad t \geq 0, \\
 \beta(t) &\in M, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, t_1] \text{ a.e.}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{68}$$

We only state the results since the proof is similar.

THEOREM 4. *Let $\int_0^{r_m} G(p(r), t) dr$ be continuously differentiable with respect to $p(r)$, $\int_0^{r_m} G'_p(p(r), t) \neq 0$ when $G(p(r), t) \neq 0$. Let (p^*, β^*, t_1) solve Problem (Y), then there exist $q(r, t)$, $\alpha(r) \in L^2(0, r_m)$ and a nonnegative measure $dm(t)$ with support on the set*

$$S = \left\{ t \in [0, t_1] \mid \int_0^{r_m} G(p^*(r, t), t) dr = \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \int_0^{r_m} G(p^*(r, t), t) dr dt \right\}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, t) \hat{q}(r, t) + \beta^*(t) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, t) q(t) dr \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, t) q(r, t) dr, \quad \forall t \in [0, t_1] \text{ a.e.}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{69}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^{r_m} p^*(r, t) \hat{q}(r, t) + \beta \int_{r_1}^{r_2} k(r) h(r) p^*(r, t) q(t) dr \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{r_m} \mu(r) p^*(r, t) q(r, t) dr \geq 0, \quad \forall \beta \in M, t \in [0, t_1] \text{ a.e.,}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{70}$$

where $q(r, t)$ is the solution of the adjoint equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial q(r, t)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial q(r, t)}{\partial t} &= \mu(r) q(r, t) - \beta^*(t) k(r) h(r) q(t) + G'_p(p^*) \frac{dm(t)}{dt} \\
 q(r, t_1) &= \alpha(r), \\
 q(0, t) &= q(t) \\
 q(r, t) &= \int_r^{r_m} \hat{q}(s, t) ds.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{71}$$

REFERENCES

1. W. L. CHAN AND GUO BAO ZHU, Optimal birth control of population dynamics, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **144** (1989), 532–552.
2. I. V. GIRSANOV, Lectures on mathematical theory of extremum problem, in “Lecture Notes in Econom. and Math-Systems,” Vol. 67, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1972.